

FOUNDATION AND DECISION GUIDE · F01

Dweve, explained in plain language

What you open, what happens after you ask,
where an answer comes from, and how you
remain in control.

DECISION


SCOPE

EVIDENCE

OWNERSHIP

PROOF

EXIT



A complete guide to a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action.

F01

CONTENTS

From the first question to a defensible decision

This 34-page guide turns 12 owned topics into an explanation, evidence requirement, proof challenge, and closing decision record.

PRIMARY READERS

General public and first-time readers

READING DEPTH

Plain language

DECISION THIS SUPPORTS

Understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.

01 Read this first 03–05
Audience, reader decision, canonical boundary, terms, and guide-specific factual spotlight

02 Understand the decision and its language 06–...
The one-sentence answer · What a person touches · A complete everyday question · What runs underneath

03 Define the mechanism, controls, and evidence ...
Why the source matters · When the system is unsure · Memory without mystery · People remain responsible

04 Prove adoption, operation, continuity, and exit ...–32
Where the work runs · What changes for you · How to start · Straight answers

05 Sources and next action 33–32
verification records and closing decision package

06 Subject index 33–34
An alphabetical finder for concepts, controls, products, and decisions.

TARGET LENGTH

7,000–9,000 words.. Page count varies with the number and depth of topics rather than forcing every subject into the same shell.

SOURCE DISCIPLINE

Dweve-specific facts are taken directly from the English website text audited 2026-07-20; web-navigation wording is humanised for print. Evaluation guidance is editorial, and scenarios and derived visuals are illustrative. Unsupported synthesis is replaced by canonical copy or omitted.

READ THIS FIRST • READER DECISION

Who should use this guide, and what decision it supports

an individual, employee, parent, citizen, or non-technical decision participant encountering Dweve for the first time.

Understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.

The worked scenario is a person uploads a letter or policy document, asks what it means, checks the cited passage, asks a follow-up, saves only the useful material, and knows when a human must decide. It is illustrative and deliberately bounded. Replace it with the organisation's actual workflow, systems, data, sources, policies, roles, infrastructure, commercial conditions and consequences while preserving the evidence discipline.

The guide's concrete output is a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action. Use the chapter explanation pages to align language, the evidence pages to create acceptance records, and any challenge pages to test whether the boundary survives missing assumptions and failure. End in a named decision, not an attractive summary.

WHAT YOU OPEN

Fabric

Current guide lens; verify source and scope.

WHAT YOU ASK

Plain language

Current guide lens; verify source and scope.

WHAT YOU CHECK

Source + reason

Current guide lens; verify source and scope.

WHO DECIDES

You or a named person

Current guide lens; verify source and scope.

PRIMARY RISK

An ordinary chat experience can sound confident while hiding source, memory, data path, model use, review and deletion. The guide must make each of those visible without requiring architecture expertise.

READ THIS FIRST • DISCIPLINE

How to read claims, evidence, responsibility, and status

The website is the only factual source for this edition. The guide adds explanation, architecture and proof requirements without inventing current product, regulatory, assurance, commercial, or customer-result facts.

Six evidence layers

A published description states a current proposition. Delivered software and documentation show what is available in a selected version. Customer configuration shows how it is used. An observed run shows one result. Independent assurance has scope, method and date. A written agreement creates commercial commitments and remedies.

Responsibility stays explicit

The relevant owners include the person, workspace or organisation owner, source owner, human reviewer where consequential, support, privacy/data-rights, and service operator. The supplier can provide product, evidence and commitments; customer owners still decide purpose, source and policy authority, professional or domain judgement, acceptable risk, infrastructure, staffing, affected-person treatment and production reliance.

Status and strong statements

Measured claims stay tied to workload, hardware and method. Research remains research. Alignment is not certification. "Open" is verified per component and licence. Pricing is re-audited at release. Roadmap and availability are not assumed. Conflicts or ambiguity become diligence items.

LAYER	EVIDENCE	CANNOT ESTABLISH ALONE
Website	website copy + captured date	Delivery, fit, contract
Delivery	Manifest + docs + artefacts	Customer result
Configuration	Data/roles/policy/posture	Operating outcome
Run	Raw output + target + packet	General performance
Assurance	Scoped test/report + findings	Universal security/compliance
Agreement	Rights + duties + remedy	Technical behaviour without test

Keep the layers separate in every chapter and decision record.

READING RULE

A person should not need to operate models, agents, databases, proof systems, or infrastructure. The organisation or service owns those mechanics; the person owns the question, files, review, correction, export, deletion request, and consequential choice appropriate to the workflow.

READ THIS FIRST • CANONICAL SPOTLIGHT

What a person actually touches

The current personal entry point is Fabric: one workspace in the browser, while platform mechanics stay underneath the interaction.

The current personal-pricing page describes chat with memory, file uploads with real previews, a personal knowledge base, saved workflows, and answers that show where they came from. The practical sequence is open the workspace, add or select material, ask in plain language, inspect the reply and source, follow up, then save, export, clear, correct or escalate according to the current controls.

A model can help form an answer; retrieval locates relevant material; knowledge processing keeps source history; policy and human gates constrain consequential work; compute runs the selected mechanism; evidence links the result to what was used. A person does not need to choose or operate each layer unless they are using a specialist or builder surface.

Fabric Personal is currently published at EUR 19 per month, with a committed pre-order price of EUR 14.25. It covers one named person on one active device, with a separate individual-only spare-compute path after the first paid month under stated eligibility and contribution conditions. Fabric Family covers five named people for the price of 2.5 Personal subscriptions: EUR 47.50 a month, or EUR 35.63 during the pre-order promotion for the life of the uninterrupted contract. Each person has their own access and may use one active device at a time. The individual compute-contribution process is not available to replace a Family subscription. Recheck the live pricing and service terms before purchase.

AREA	CURRENT GUIDE RECORD	VERIFY / DECIDE
Conversation	Ask, follow up, inspect uncertainty	Current Fabric behaviour
Files	Upload, preview, ask against material	Formats, limits, retention
Knowledge	Save reusable material and workflows	Scope, memory, clear/export
Source	Open the material behind an answer	Citation fidelity and missing-source state
Human	Review a consequential result	Authority and escalation
Account	Pay, contribute, cancel, exercise rights	Current eligibility and terms

Current source-edition summary. Recheck publication-sensitive facts and delivered scope at the decision date.

GUIDE-SPECIFIC PROOF


Open the current Fabric experience, use a non-sensitive representative document, inspect every source and uncertainty state, test a missing answer and correction, review memory/export/clear controls, and confirm the current price and data-path terms before committing.

01

DWEVE, EXPLAINED IN PLAIN LANGUAGE

Understand the decision and its language

Define the real question, current state, responsibilities, source claims, and the artefact this guide must produce.



The same evidence discipline applies to every chapter in the section.

CHAPTER 01 • EXPLAIN

The one-sentence answer

define Dweve without naming the whole stack first.

This chapter owns a specific part of the reader's decision: define Dweve without naming the whole stack first.

In this guide, the practical experience begins with Fabric: a workspace for conversations, files, saved knowledge, and reusable work. The deeper platform matters only when it helps the person receive a useful answer with a source, an honest limitation, and a clear next step. Applied to "The one-sentence answer", the practical task is to name the current state, the desired state, the owner of the transition, the material input and dependency, the evidence a reviewer can inspect, and the condition that would make the claim false or the design unsuitable.

The governing boundary is this: A person should not need to operate models, agents, databases, proof systems, or infrastructure. The organisation or service owns those mechanics; the person owns the question, files, review, correction, export, deletion request, and consequential choice appropriate to the workflow. Keep website publication, delivered software, customer configuration, operating result, independent assurance and written contract as separate evidence layers. A strong statement at one layer must not silently become a guarantee at another.

LENS 1

Define Dweve without naming the whole stack first

Define the exact proposition and current authoritative source.

LENS 2

Scope and limits

Name the responsible person, system, dependency, and version.

LENS 3

Owner and evidence

Specify the evidence and how an independent reviewer checks it.

LENS 4

Failure and next action

Record scope, failure, unresolved question, and safe next action.

OWNED ARTEFACT

This chapter contributes to a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action.

CHAPTER 01 • APPLY

Turn “The one-sentence answer” into decision evidence

Move from explanation to a record an accountable owner can use, challenge, update, and carry into proof, procurement, operation, or exit.

Begin with the chapter proposition, define Dweve without naming the whole stack first., and write it as one or more falsifiable questions. For each question, define scope and time, authoritative website source or customer source, delivered artefact, relevant source and version, named owner, comparison or acceptance rule, raw evidence, known limitation, and decision consequence.

Use the guide-wide scenario: a person uploads a letter or policy document, asks what it means, checks the cited passage, asks a follow-up, saves only the useful material, and knows when a human must decide. Walk it end to end and ask what this chapter changes at request, source, model/tool/rule, human authority, target, evidence, deployment, operation, commercial or exit state. Do not accept a screenshot or summary when the underlying manifest, result, packet, record or contractual term can be inspected.

The proof discipline for this guide is: Open the current Fabric experience, use a non-sensitive representative document, inspect every source and uncertainty state, test a missing answer and correction, review memory/export/clear controls, and confirm the current price and data-path terms before committing. Preserve negative and unverifiable results. A gap can be remediated, contracted, accepted by the competent owner, or block the decision; it must not disappear behind roadmap language or an average score.

QUESTION	REQUIRED RECORD	OWNER / STOP
What is claimed?	Exact wording, scope, date, source	Guide decision owner
What exists?	Delivered manifest, interface, artefact	Technical/product owner
What is configured?	Customer data, roles, rules, posture	Customer control owner
What happened?	Raw run, target state, packet, metric	Domain/operations owner
What is assured?	Test/report scope, findings, limits	Independent challenger
What is committed?	Offer/agreement, remedy, exit term	Procurement/legal owner

Evidence ladder for the one-sentence answer; not every question needs every row, but no row may be implied without evidence.

DECISION RECORD

Record pass, conditional pass, gap, fail, or unverifiable; link evidence, owner, remediation, date, residual risk, and effect on understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.

CHAPTER 02 • EXPLAIN

What a person touches

explain Fabric as the workspace for conversations, documents, saved knowledge, and small workflows.

This chapter owns a specific part of the reader's decision: explain Fabric as the workspace for conversations, documents, saved knowledge, and small workflows.

In this guide, the practical experience begins with Fabric: a workspace for conversations, files, saved knowledge, and reusable work. The deeper platform matters only when it helps the person receive a useful answer with a source, an honest limitation, and a clear next step. Applied to "What a person touches", the practical task is to name the current state, the desired state, the owner of the transition, the material input and dependency, the evidence a reviewer can inspect, and the condition that would make the claim false or the design unsuitable.

The governing boundary is this: A person should not need to operate models, agents, databases, proof systems, or infrastructure. The organisation or service owns those mechanics; the person owns the question, files, review, correction, export, deletion request, and consequential choice appropriate to the workflow. Keep website publication, delivered software, customer configuration, operating result, independent assurance and written contract as separate evidence layers. A strong statement at one layer must not silently become a guarantee at another.

LENS 1

Fabric as the workspace for conversations

Define the exact proposition and current authoritative source.

LENS 2

Documents

Name the responsible person, system, dependency, and version.

LENS 3

Saved knowledge

Specify the evidence and how an independent reviewer checks it.

LENS 4

And small workflows

Record scope, failure, unresolved question, and safe next action.

OWNED ARTEFACT

This chapter contributes to a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action.

CHAPTER 02 • APPLY

Turn “What a person touches” into decision evidence

Move from explanation to a record an accountable owner can use, challenge, update, and carry into proof, procurement, operation, or exit.

Begin with the chapter proposition, explain Fabric as the workspace for conversations, documents, saved knowledge, and small workflows., and write it as one or more falsifiable questions. For each question, define scope and time, authoritative website source or customer source, delivered artefact, relevant delivered mechanism, named owner, comparison or acceptance rule, raw evidence, known limitation, and decision consequence.

Use the guide-wide scenario: a person uploads a letter or policy document, asks what it means, checks the cited passage, asks a follow-up, saves only the useful material, and knows when a human must decide. Walk it end to end and ask what this chapter changes at request, source, model/tool/rule, human authority, target, evidence, deployment, operation, commercial or exit state. Do not accept a screenshot or summary when the underlying manifest, result, packet, record or contractual term can be inspected.

The proof discipline for this guide is: Open the current Fabric experience, use a non-sensitive representative document, inspect every source and uncertainty state, test a missing answer and correction, review memory/export/clear controls, and confirm the current price and data-path terms before committing. Preserve negative and unverifiable results. A gap can be remediated, contracted, accepted by the competent owner, or block the decision; it must not disappear behind roadmap language or an average score.

QUESTION	REQUIRED RECORD	OWNER / STOP
What is claimed?	Exact wording, scope, date, source	Guide decision owner
What exists?	Delivered manifest, interface, artefact	Technical/product owner
What is configured?	Customer data, roles, rules, posture	Customer control owner
What happened?	Raw run, target state, packet, metric	Domain/operations owner
What is assured?	Test/report scope, findings, limits	Independent challenger
What is committed?	Offer/agreement, remedy, exit term	Procurement/legal owner

Evidence ladder for what a person touches; not every question needs every row, but no row may be implied without evidence.

DECISION RECORD

Record pass, conditional pass, gap, fail, or unverifiable; link evidence, owner, remediation, date, residual risk, and effect on understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.

CHAPTER 03 • EXPLAIN

A complete everyday question

follow one uploaded document from question to sourced answer, uncertainty, and follow-up.

This chapter owns a specific part of the reader's decision: follow one uploaded document from question to sourced answer, uncertainty, and follow-up.

In this guide, the practical experience begins with Fabric: a workspace for conversations, files, saved knowledge, and reusable work. The deeper platform matters only when it helps the person receive a useful answer with a source, an honest limitation, and a clear next step. Applied to "A complete everyday question", the practical task is to name the current state, the desired state, the owner of the transition, the material input and dependency, the evidence a reviewer can inspect, and the condition that would make the claim false or the design unsuitable.

The governing boundary is this: A person should not need to operate models, agents, databases, proof systems, or infrastructure. The organisation or service owns those mechanics; the person owns the question, files, review, correction, export, deletion request, and consequential choice appropriate to the workflow. Keep website publication, delivered software, customer configuration, operating result, independent assurance and written contract as separate evidence layers. A strong statement at one layer must not silently become a guarantee at another.

LENS 1

Follow one uploaded document from question to sourced answer

Define the exact proposition and current authoritative source.

LENS 2

Uncertainty

Name the responsible person, system, dependency, and version.

LENS 3

And follow-up

Specify the evidence and how an independent reviewer checks it.

LENS 4

Scope and limits

Record scope, failure, unresolved question, and safe next action.

OWNED ARTEFACT

This chapter contributes to a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action.

CHAPTER 03 • APPLY

Turn "A complete everyday question" into decision evidence

Move from explanation to a record an accountable owner can use, challenge, update, and carry into proof, procurement, operation, or exit.

Begin with the chapter proposition, follow one uploaded document from question to sourced answer, uncertainty, and follow-up., and write it as one or more falsifiable questions. For each question, define scope and time, authoritative website source or customer source, delivered artefact, relevant customer configuration, named owner, comparison or acceptance rule, raw evidence, known limitation, and decision consequence.

Use the guide-wide scenario: a person uploads a letter or policy document, asks what it means, checks the cited passage, asks a follow-up, saves only the useful material, and knows when a human must decide. Walk it end to end and ask what this chapter changes at request, source, model/tool/rule, human authority, target, evidence, deployment, operation, commercial or exit state. Do not accept a screenshot or summary when the underlying manifest, result, packet, record or contractual term can be inspected.

The proof discipline for this guide is: Open the current Fabric experience, use a non-sensitive representative document, inspect every source and uncertainty state, test a missing answer and correction, review memory/export/clear controls, and confirm the current price and data-path terms before committing. Preserve negative and unverifiable results. A gap can be remediated, contracted, accepted by the competent owner, or block the decision; it must not disappear behind roadmap language or an average score.

QUESTION	REQUIRED RECORD	OWNER / STOP
What is claimed?	Exact wording, scope, date, source	Guide decision owner
What exists?	Delivered manifest, interface, artefact	Technical/product owner
What is configured?	Customer data, roles, rules, posture	Customer control owner
What happened?	Raw run, target state, packet, metric	Domain/operations owner
What is assured?	Test/report scope, findings, limits	Independent challenger
What is committed?	Offer/agreement, remedy, exit term	Procurement/legal owner

Evidence ladder for a complete everyday question; not every question needs every row, but no row may be implied without evidence.

DECISION RECORD

Record pass, conditional pass, gap, fail, or unverifiable; link evidence, owner, remediation, date, residual risk, and effect on understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.

CHAPTER 04 • EXPLAIN

What runs underneath

introduce models, agents, knowledge, and compute only as responsibilities supporting that interaction.

This chapter owns a specific part of the reader's decision: introduce models, agents, knowledge, and compute only as responsibilities supporting that interaction.

In this guide, the practical experience begins with Fabric: a workspace for conversations, files, saved knowledge, and reusable work. The deeper platform matters only when it helps the person receive a useful answer with a source, an honest limitation, and a clear next step. Applied to "What runs underneath", the practical task is to name the current state, the desired state, the owner of the transition, the material input and dependency, the evidence a reviewer can inspect, and the condition that would make the claim false or the design unsuitable.

The governing boundary is this: A person should not need to operate models, agents, databases, proof systems, or infrastructure. The organisation or service owns those mechanics; the person owns the question, files, review, correction, export, deletion request, and consequential choice appropriate to the workflow. Keep website publication, delivered software, customer configuration, operating result, independent assurance and written contract as separate evidence layers. A strong statement at one layer must not silently become a guarantee at another.

LENS 1

Introduce models

Define the exact proposition and current authoritative source.

LENS 2

Agents

Name the responsible person, system, dependency, and version.

LENS 3

Knowledge

Specify the evidence and how an independent reviewer checks it.

LENS 4

And compute only as responsibilities supporting that interaction

Record scope, failure, unresolved question, and safe next action.

OWNED ARTEFACT

This chapter contributes to a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action.

CHAPTER 04 • APPLY

Turn “What runs underneath” into decision evidence

Move from explanation to a record an accountable owner can use, challenge, update, and carry into proof, procurement, operation, or exit.

Begin with the chapter proposition, introduce models, agents, knowledge, and compute only as responsibilities supporting that interaction., and write it as one or more falsifiable questions. For each question, define scope and time, authoritative website source or customer source, delivered artefact, relevant observed operation, named owner, comparison or acceptance rule, raw evidence, known limitation, and decision consequence.

Use the guide-wide scenario: a person uploads a letter or policy document, asks what it means, checks the cited passage, asks a follow-up, saves only the useful material, and knows when a human must decide. Walk it end to end and ask what this chapter changes at request, source, model/tool/rule, human authority, target, evidence, deployment, operation, commercial or exit state. Do not accept a screenshot or summary when the underlying manifest, result, packet, record or contractual term can be inspected.

The proof discipline for this guide is: Open the current Fabric experience, use a non-sensitive representative document, inspect every source and uncertainty state, test a missing answer and correction, review memory/export/clear controls, and confirm the current price and data-path terms before committing. Preserve negative and unverifiable results. A gap can be remediated, contracted, accepted by the competent owner, or block the decision; it must not disappear behind roadmap language or an average score.

QUESTION	REQUIRED RECORD	OWNER / STOP
What is claimed?	Exact wording, scope, date, source	Guide decision owner
What exists?	Delivered manifest, interface, artefact	Technical/product owner
What is configured?	Customer data, roles, rules, posture	Customer control owner
What happened?	Raw run, target state, packet, metric	Domain/operations owner
What is assured?	Test/report scope, findings, limits	Independent challenger
What is committed?	Offer/agreement, remedy, exit term	Procurement/legal owner

Evidence ladder for what runs underneath; not every question needs every row, but no row may be implied without evidence.

DECISION RECORD


Record pass, conditional pass, gap, fail, or unverifiable; link evidence, owner, remediation, date, residual risk, and effect on understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.

02

DWEVE, EXPLAINED IN PLAIN LANGUAGE

Define the mechanism, controls, and evidence

Turn architecture and policy language into versions, owners, boundaries, tests, records, failures, and explicit limitations.



The same evidence discipline applies to every chapter in the section.

CHAPTER 05 • EXPLAIN

Why the source matters

show the difference between a citation, a rule, an owner, and a sealed record.

This chapter owns a specific part of the reader's decision: show the difference between a citation, a rule, an owner, and a sealed record.

In this guide, the practical experience begins with Fabric: a workspace for conversations, files, saved knowledge, and reusable work. The deeper platform matters only when it helps the person receive a useful answer with a source, an honest limitation, and a clear next step. Applied to "Why the source matters", the practical task is to name the current state, the desired state, the owner of the transition, the material input and dependency, the evidence a reviewer can inspect, and the condition that would make the claim false or the design unsuitable.

The governing boundary is this: A person should not need to operate models, agents, databases, proof systems, or infrastructure. The organisation or service owns those mechanics; the person owns the question, files, review, correction, export, deletion request, and consequential choice appropriate to the workflow. Keep website publication, delivered software, customer configuration, operating result, independent assurance and written contract as separate evidence layers. A strong statement at one layer must not silently become a guarantee at another.

LENS 1

The difference between a citation

Define the exact proposition and current authoritative source.

LENS 2

A rule

Name the responsible person, system, dependency, and version.

LENS 3

An owner

Specify the evidence and how an independent reviewer checks it.

LENS 4

And a sealed record

Record scope, failure, unresolved question, and safe next action.

OWNED ARTEFACT

This chapter contributes to a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action.

CHAPTER 05 • APPLY

Turn “Why the source matters” into decision evidence

Move from explanation to a record an accountable owner can use, challenge, update, and carry into proof, procurement, operation, or exit.

Begin with the chapter proposition, show the difference between a citation, a rule, an owner, and a sealed record., and write it as one or more falsifiable questions. For each question, define scope and time, authoritative website source or customer source, delivered artefact, relevant contract and assurance, named owner, comparison or acceptance rule, raw evidence, known limitation, and decision consequence.

Use the guide-wide scenario: a person uploads a letter or policy document, asks what it means, checks the cited passage, asks a follow-up, saves only the useful material, and knows when a human must decide. Walk it end to end and ask what this chapter changes at request, source, model/tool/rule, human authority, target, evidence, deployment, operation, commercial or exit state. Do not accept a screenshot or summary when the underlying manifest, result, packet, record or contractual term can be inspected.

The proof discipline for this guide is: Open the current Fabric experience, use a non-sensitive representative document, inspect every source and uncertainty state, test a missing answer and correction, review memory/export/clear controls, and confirm the current price and data-path terms before committing. Preserve negative and unverifiable results. A gap can be remediated, contracted, accepted by the competent owner, or block the decision; it must not disappear behind roadmap language or an average score.

QUESTION	REQUIRED RECORD	OWNER / STOP
What is claimed?	Exact wording, scope, date, source	Guide decision owner
What exists?	Delivered manifest, interface, artefact	Technical/product owner
What is configured?	Customer data, roles, rules, posture	Customer control owner
What happened?	Raw run, target state, packet, metric	Domain/operations owner
What is assured?	Test/report scope, findings, limits	Independent challenger
What is committed?	Offer/agreement, remedy, exit term	Procurement/legal owner

Evidence ladder for why the source matters; not every question needs every row, but no row may be implied without evidence.

DECISION RECORD

Record pass, conditional pass, gap, fail, or unverifiable; link evidence, owner, remediation, date, residual risk, and effect on understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.

CHAPTER 06 • EXPLAIN

When the system is unsure

explain refusal, qualification, review, and why confident invention is not the intended behaviour.

This chapter owns a specific part of the reader's decision: explain refusal, qualification, review, and why confident invention is not the intended behaviour.

In this guide, the practical experience begins with Fabric: a workspace for conversations, files, saved knowledge, and reusable work. The deeper platform matters only when it helps the person receive a useful answer with a source, an honest limitation, and a clear next step. Applied to "When the system is unsure", the practical task is to name the current state, the desired state, the owner of the transition, the material input and dependency, the evidence a reviewer can inspect, and the condition that would make the claim false or the design unsuitable.

The governing boundary is this: A person should not need to operate models, agents, databases, proof systems, or infrastructure. The organisation or service owns those mechanics; the person owns the question, files, review, correction, export, deletion request, and consequential choice appropriate to the workflow. Keep website publication, delivered software, customer configuration, operating result, independent assurance and written contract as separate evidence layers. A strong statement at one layer must not silently become a guarantee at another.

LENS 1

Refusal

Define the exact proposition and current authoritative source.

LENS 2

Qualification

Name the responsible person, system, dependency, and version.

LENS 3

Review

Specify the evidence and how an independent reviewer checks it.

LENS 4

And why confident invention is not the intended behaviour

Record scope, failure, unresolved question, and safe next action.

OWNED ARTEFACT

This chapter contributes to a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action.

CHAPTER 06 • APPLY

Turn “When the system is unsure” into decision evidence

Move from explanation to a record an accountable owner can use, challenge, update, and carry into proof, procurement, operation, or exit.

Begin with the chapter proposition, explain refusal, qualification, review, and why confident invention is not the intended behaviour., and write it as one or more falsifiable questions. For each question, define scope and time, authoritative website source or customer source, delivered artefact, relevant source and version, named owner, comparison or acceptance rule, raw evidence, known limitation, and decision consequence.

Use the guide-wide scenario: a person uploads a letter or policy document, asks what it means, checks the cited passage, asks a follow-up, saves only the useful material, and knows when a human must decide. Walk it end to end and ask what this chapter changes at request, source, model/tool/rule, human authority, target, evidence, deployment, operation, commercial or exit state. Do not accept a screenshot or summary when the underlying manifest, result, packet, record or contractual term can be inspected.

The proof discipline for this guide is: Open the current Fabric experience, use a non-sensitive representative document, inspect every source and uncertainty state, test a missing answer and correction, review memory/export/clear controls, and confirm the current price and data-path terms before committing. Preserve negative and unverifiable results. A gap can be remediated, contracted, accepted by the competent owner, or block the decision; it must not disappear behind roadmap language or an average score.

QUESTION	REQUIRED RECORD	OWNER / STOP
What is claimed?	Exact wording, scope, date, source	Guide decision owner
What exists?	Delivered manifest, interface, artefact	Technical/product owner
What is configured?	Customer data, roles, rules, posture	Customer control owner
What happened?	Raw run, target state, packet, metric	Domain/operations owner
What is assured?	Test/report scope, findings, limits	Independent challenger
What is committed?	Offer/agreement, remedy, exit term	Procurement/legal owner

Evidence ladder for when the system is unsure; not every question needs every row, but no row may be implied without evidence.

DECISION RECORD

Record pass, conditional pass, gap, fail, or unverifiable; link evidence, owner, remediation, date, residual risk, and effect on understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.

CHAPTER 07 • EXPLAIN

Memory without mystery

distinguish conversation context, saved material, workspace knowledge, export, and deletion controls using only website-supported wording.

This chapter owns a specific part of the reader's decision: distinguish conversation context, saved material, workspace knowledge, export, and deletion controls using only website-supported wording.

In this guide, the practical experience begins with Fabric: a workspace for conversations, files, saved knowledge, and reusable work. The deeper platform matters only when it helps the person receive a useful answer with a source, an honest limitation, and a clear next step. Applied to "Memory without mystery", the practical task is to name the current state, the desired state, the owner of the transition, the material input and dependency, the evidence a reviewer can inspect, and the condition that would make the claim false or the design unsuitable.

The governing boundary is this: A person should not need to operate models, agents, databases, proof systems, or infrastructure. The organisation or service owns those mechanics; the person owns the question, files, review, correction, export, deletion request, and consequential choice appropriate to the workflow. Keep website publication, delivered software, customer configuration, operating result, independent assurance and written contract as separate evidence layers. A strong statement at one layer must not silently become a guarantee at another.

LENS 1

Distinguish conversation context

Define the exact proposition and current authoritative source.

LENS 2

Saved material

Name the responsible person, system, dependency, and version.

LENS 3

Workspace knowledge

Specify the evidence and how an independent reviewer checks it.

LENS 4

Export

Record scope, failure, unresolved question, and safe next action.

OWNED ARTEFACT

This chapter contributes to a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action.

CHAPTER 07 • APPLY

Turn “Memory without mystery” into decision evidence

Move from explanation to a record an accountable owner can use, challenge, update, and carry into proof, procurement, operation, or exit.

Begin with the chapter proposition, distinguish conversation context, saved material, workspace knowledge, export, and deletion controls using only website-supported wording., and write it as one or more falsifiable questions. For each question, define scope and time, authoritative website source or customer source, delivered artefact, relevant delivered mechanism, named owner, comparison or acceptance rule, raw evidence, known limitation, and decision consequence.

Use the guide-wide scenario: a person uploads a letter or policy document, asks what it means, checks the cited passage, asks a follow-up, saves only the useful material, and knows when a human must decide. Walk it end to end and ask what this chapter changes at request, source, model/tool/rule, human authority, target, evidence, deployment, operation, commercial or exit state. Do not accept a screenshot or summary when the underlying manifest, result, packet, record or contractual term can be inspected.

The proof discipline for this guide is: Open the current Fabric experience, use a non-sensitive representative document, inspect every source and uncertainty state, test a missing answer and correction, review memory/export/clear controls, and confirm the current price and data-path terms before committing. Preserve negative and unverifiable results. A gap can be remediated, contracted, accepted by the competent owner, or block the decision; it must not disappear behind roadmap language or an average score.

QUESTION	REQUIRED RECORD	OWNER / STOP
What is claimed?	Exact wording, scope, date, source	Guide decision owner
What exists?	Delivered manifest, interface, artefact	Technical/product owner
What is configured?	Customer data, roles, rules, posture	Customer control owner
What happened?	Raw run, target state, packet, metric	Domain/operations owner
What is assured?	Test/report scope, findings, limits	Independent challenger
What is committed?	Offer/agreement, remedy, exit term	Procurement/legal owner

Evidence ladder for memory without mystery; not every question needs every row, but no row may be implied without evidence.

DECISION RECORD

Record pass, conditional pass, gap, fail, or unverifiable; link evidence, owner, remediation, date, residual risk, and effect on understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.

CHAPTER 08 • EXPLAIN

People remain responsible

explain review, approval, override, appeal, and escalation in plain language.

This chapter owns a specific part of the reader's decision: explain review, approval, override, appeal, and escalation in plain language.

In this guide, the practical experience begins with Fabric: a workspace for conversations, files, saved knowledge, and reusable work. The deeper platform matters only when it helps the person receive a useful answer with a source, an honest limitation, and a clear next step. Applied to "People remain responsible", the practical task is to name the current state, the desired state, the owner of the transition, the material input and dependency, the evidence a reviewer can inspect, and the condition that would make the claim false or the design unsuitable.

The governing boundary is this: A person should not need to operate models, agents, databases, proof systems, or infrastructure. The organisation or service owns those mechanics; the person owns the question, files, review, correction, export, deletion request, and consequential choice appropriate to the workflow. Keep website publication, delivered software, customer configuration, operating result, independent assurance and written contract as separate evidence layers. A strong statement at one layer must not silently become a guarantee at another.

LENS 1

Review

Define the exact proposition and current authoritative source.

LENS 2

Approval

Name the responsible person, system, dependency, and version.

LENS 3

Override

Specify the evidence and how an independent reviewer checks it.

LENS 4

Appeal

Record scope, failure, unresolved question, and safe next action.

OWNED ARTEFACT

This chapter contributes to a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action.

CHAPTER 08 • APPLY

Turn “People remain responsible” into decision evidence

Move from explanation to a record an accountable owner can use, challenge, update, and carry into proof, procurement, operation, or exit.

Begin with the chapter proposition, explain review, approval, override, appeal, and escalation in plain language., and write it as one or more falsifiable questions. For each question, define scope and time, authoritative website source or customer source, delivered artefact, relevant customer configuration, named owner, comparison or acceptance rule, raw evidence, known limitation, and decision consequence.

Use the guide-wide scenario: a person uploads a letter or policy document, asks what it means, checks the cited passage, asks a follow-up, saves only the useful material, and knows when a human must decide. Walk it end to end and ask what this chapter changes at request, source, model/tool/rule, human authority, target, evidence, deployment, operation, commercial or exit state. Do not accept a screenshot or summary when the underlying manifest, result, packet, record or contractual term can be inspected.

The proof discipline for this guide is: Open the current Fabric experience, use a non-sensitive representative document, inspect every source and uncertainty state, test a missing answer and correction, review memory/export/clear controls, and confirm the current price and data-path terms before committing. Preserve negative and unverifiable results. A gap can be remediated, contracted, accepted by the competent owner, or block the decision; it must not disappear behind roadmap language or an average score.

QUESTION	REQUIRED RECORD	OWNER / STOP
What is claimed?	Exact wording, scope, date, source	Guide decision owner
What exists?	Delivered manifest, interface, artefact	Technical/product owner
What is configured?	Customer data, roles, rules, posture	Customer control owner
What happened?	Raw run, target state, packet, metric	Domain/operations owner
What is assured?	Test/report scope, findings, limits	Independent challenger
What is committed?	Offer/agreement, remedy, exit term	Procurement/legal owner

Evidence ladder for people remain responsible; not every question needs every row, but no row may be implied without evidence.

DECISION RECORD


Record pass, conditional pass, gap, fail, or unverifiable; link evidence, owner, remediation, date, residual risk, and effect on understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.

03

DWEVE, EXPLAINED IN PLAIN LANGUAGE

Prove adoption, operation, continuity, and exit

Use customer evidence, challenge, recovery, diligence, commercial terms, and a signed decision before expanding reliance.



The same evidence discipline applies to every chapter in the section.

CHAPTER 09 • EXPLAIN

Where the work runs

explain managed Fabric access, licensed customer operation, and fully disconnected operation without infrastructure jargon.

This chapter owns a specific part of the reader's decision: explain managed Fabric access, licensed customer operation, and fully disconnected operation without infrastructure jargon.

In this guide, the practical experience begins with Fabric: a workspace for conversations, files, saved knowledge, and reusable work. The deeper platform matters only when it helps the person receive a useful answer with a source, an honest limitation, and a clear next step. Applied to "Where the work runs", the practical task is to name the current state, the desired state, the owner of the transition, the material input and dependency, the evidence a reviewer can inspect, and the condition that would make the claim false or the design unsuitable.

The governing boundary is this: A person should not need to operate models, agents, databases, proof systems, or infrastructure. The organisation or service owns those mechanics; the person owns the question, files, review, correction, export, deletion request, and consequential choice appropriate to the workflow. Keep website publication, delivered software, customer configuration, operating result, independent assurance and written contract as separate evidence layers. A strong statement at one layer must not silently become a guarantee at another.

LENS 1

Managed Fabric access

Define the exact proposition and current authoritative source.

LENS 2

Licensed customer operation

Name the responsible person, system, dependency, and version.

LENS 3

And fully disconnected operation without infrastructure jargon

Specify the evidence and how an independent reviewer checks it.

LENS 4

Scope and limits

Record scope, failure, unresolved question, and safe next action.

OWNED ARTEFACT

This chapter contributes to a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action.

CHAPTER 09 • APPLY

Turn “Where the work runs” into decision evidence

Move from explanation to a record an accountable owner can use, challenge, update, and carry into proof, procurement, operation, or exit.

Begin with the chapter proposition, explain managed Fabric access, licensed customer operation, and fully disconnected operation without infrastructure jargon., and write it as one or more falsifiable questions. For each question, define scope and time, authoritative website source or customer source, delivered artefact, relevant observed operation, named owner, comparison or acceptance rule, raw evidence, known limitation, and decision consequence.

Use the guide-wide scenario: a person uploads a letter or policy document, asks what it means, checks the cited passage, asks a follow-up, saves only the useful material, and knows when a human must decide. Walk it end to end and ask what this chapter changes at request, source, model/tool/rule, human authority, target, evidence, deployment, operation, commercial or exit state. Do not accept a screenshot or summary when the underlying manifest, result, packet, record or contractual term can be inspected.

The proof discipline for this guide is: Open the current Fabric experience, use a non-sensitive representative document, inspect every source and uncertainty state, test a missing answer and correction, review memory/export/clear controls, and confirm the current price and data-path terms before committing. Preserve negative and unverifiable results. A gap can be remediated, contracted, accepted by the competent owner, or block the decision; it must not disappear behind roadmap language or an average score.

QUESTION	REQUIRED RECORD	OWNER / STOP
What is claimed?	Exact wording, scope, date, source	Guide decision owner
What exists?	Delivered manifest, interface, artefact	Technical/product owner
What is configured?	Customer data, roles, rules, posture	Customer control owner
What happened?	Raw run, target state, packet, metric	Domain/operations owner
What is assured?	Test/report scope, findings, limits	Independent challenger
What is committed?	Offer/agreement, remedy, exit term	Procurement/legal owner

Evidence ladder for where the work runs; not every question needs every row, but no row may be implied without evidence.

DECISION RECORD

Record pass, conditional pass, gap, fail, or unverifiable; link evidence, owner, remediation, date, residual risk, and effect on understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.

CHAPTER 10 • EXPLAIN

What changes for you

summarise privacy, inspectability, portability, and the practical daily experience.

This chapter owns a specific part of the reader's decision: summarise privacy, inspectability, portability, and the practical daily experience.

In this guide, the practical experience begins with Fabric: a workspace for conversations, files, saved knowledge, and reusable work. The deeper platform matters only when it helps the person receive a useful answer with a source, an honest limitation, and a clear next step. Applied to "What changes for you", the practical task is to name the current state, the desired state, the owner of the transition, the material input and dependency, the evidence a reviewer can inspect, and the condition that would make the claim false or the design unsuitable.

The governing boundary is this: A person should not need to operate models, agents, databases, proof systems, or infrastructure. The organisation or service owns those mechanics; the person owns the question, files, review, correction, export, deletion request, and consequential choice appropriate to the workflow. Keep website publication, delivered software, customer configuration, operating result, independent assurance and written contract as separate evidence layers. A strong statement at one layer must not silently become a guarantee at another.

LENS 1

Summarise privacy

Define the exact proposition and current authoritative source.

LENS 2

Inspectability

Name the responsible person, system, dependency, and version.

LENS 3

Portability

Specify the evidence and how an independent reviewer checks it.

LENS 4

And the practical daily experience

Record scope, failure, unresolved question, and safe next action.

OWNED ARTEFACT

This chapter contributes to a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action.

CHAPTER 10 • APPLY

Turn “What changes for you” into decision evidence

Move from explanation to a record an accountable owner can use, challenge, update, and carry into proof, procurement, operation, or exit.

Begin with the chapter proposition, summarise privacy, inspectability, portability, and the practical daily experience., and write it as one or more falsifiable questions. For each question, define scope and time, authoritative website source or customer source, delivered artefact, relevant contract and assurance, named owner, comparison or acceptance rule, raw evidence, known limitation, and decision consequence.

Use the guide-wide scenario: a person uploads a letter or policy document, asks what it means, checks the cited passage, asks a follow-up, saves only the useful material, and knows when a human must decide. Walk it end to end and ask what this chapter changes at request, source, model/tool/rule, human authority, target, evidence, deployment, operation, commercial or exit state. Do not accept a screenshot or summary when the underlying manifest, result, packet, record or contractual term can be inspected.

The proof discipline for this guide is: Open the current Fabric experience, use a non-sensitive representative document, inspect every source and uncertainty state, test a missing answer and correction, review memory/export/clear controls, and confirm the current price and data-path terms before committing. Preserve negative and unverifiable results. A gap can be remediated, contracted, accepted by the competent owner, or block the decision; it must not disappear behind roadmap language or an average score.

QUESTION	REQUIRED RECORD	OWNER / STOP
What is claimed?	Exact wording, scope, date, source	Guide decision owner
What exists?	Delivered manifest, interface, artefact	Technical/product owner
What is configured?	Customer data, roles, rules, posture	Customer control owner
What happened?	Raw run, target state, packet, metric	Domain/operations owner
What is assured?	Test/report scope, findings, limits	Independent challenger
What is committed?	Offer/agreement, remedy, exit term	Procurement/legal owner

Evidence ladder for what changes for you; not every question needs every row, but no row may be implied without evidence.

DECISION RECORD

Record pass, conditional pass, gap, fail, or unverifiable; link evidence, owner, remediation, date, residual risk, and effect on understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.

CHAPTER 11 • EXPLAIN

How to start

distinguish Personal and Family pricing, the Business Workspace, an API, and an organisation-owned deployment.

This chapter owns a specific part of the reader's decision: distinguish Personal and Family pricing, the Business Workspace, an API, and an organisation-owned deployment.

In this guide, the practical experience begins with Fabric: a workspace for conversations, files, saved knowledge, and reusable work. The deeper platform matters only when it helps the person receive a useful answer with a source, an honest limitation, and a clear next step. Applied to "How to start", the practical task is to name the current state, the desired state, the owner of the transition, the material input and dependency, the evidence a reviewer can inspect, and the condition that would make the claim false or the design unsuitable.

The governing boundary is this: A person should not need to operate models, agents, databases, proof systems, or infrastructure. The organisation or service owns those mechanics; the person owns the question, files, review, correction, export, deletion request, and consequential choice appropriate to the workflow. Keep website publication, delivered software, customer configuration, operating result, independent assurance and written contract as separate evidence layers. A strong statement at one layer must not silently become a guarantee at another.

LENS 1

Distinguish Personal

Define the exact proposition and current authoritative source.

LENS 2

Family pricing

Name the responsible person, system, dependency, and version.

LENS 3

The Business Workspace

Specify the evidence and how an independent reviewer checks it.

LENS 4

An API

Record scope, failure, unresolved question, and safe next action.

OWNED ARTEFACT

This chapter contributes to a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action.

CHAPTER 11 • APPLY

Turn “How to start” into decision evidence

Move from explanation to a record an accountable owner can use, challenge, update, and carry into proof, procurement, operation, or exit.

Begin with the chapter proposition, distinguish Personal and Family pricing, the Business Workspace, an API, and an organisation-owned deployment., and write it as one or more falsifiable questions. For each question, define scope and time, authoritative website source or customer source, delivered artefact, relevant source and version, named owner, comparison or acceptance rule, raw evidence, known limitation, and decision consequence.

Use the guide-wide scenario: a person uploads a letter or policy document, asks what it means, checks the cited passage, asks a follow-up, saves only the useful material, and knows when a human must decide. Walk it end to end and ask what this chapter changes at request, source, model/tool/rule, human authority, target, evidence, deployment, operation, commercial or exit state. Do not accept a screenshot or summary when the underlying manifest, result, packet, record or contractual term can be inspected.

The proof discipline for this guide is: Open the current Fabric experience, use a non-sensitive representative document, inspect every source and uncertainty state, test a missing answer and correction, review memory/export/clear controls, and confirm the current price and data-path terms before committing. Preserve negative and unverifiable results. A gap can be remediated, contracted, accepted by the competent owner, or block the decision; it must not disappear behind roadmap language or an average score.

QUESTION	REQUIRED RECORD	OWNER / STOP
What is claimed?	Exact wording, scope, date, source	Guide decision owner
What exists?	Delivered manifest, interface, artefact	Technical/product owner
What is configured?	Customer data, roles, rules, posture	Customer control owner
What happened?	Raw run, target state, packet, metric	Domain/operations owner
What is assured?	Test/report scope, findings, limits	Independent challenger
What is committed?	Offer/agreement, remedy, exit term	Procurement/legal owner

Evidence ladder for how to start; not every question needs every row, but no row may be implied without evidence.

DECISION RECORD

Record pass, conditional pass, gap, fail, or unverifiable; link evidence, owner, remediation, date, residual risk, and effect on understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.

CHAPTER 12 • EXPLAIN

Straight answers

The plain-language guide that needs no background. The shared starting point for the whole team.

This chapter owns a specific part of the reader's decision: answer the website's common objections and point specialist readers to the appropriate guide.

In this guide, the practical experience begins with Fabric: a workspace for conversations, files, saved knowledge, and reusable work. The deeper platform matters only when it helps the person receive a useful answer with a source, an honest limitation, and a clear next step. Applied to "Straight answers", the practical task is to name the current state, the desired state, the owner of the transition, the material input and dependency, the evidence a reviewer can inspect, and the condition that would make the claim false or the design unsuitable.

The governing boundary is this: A person should not need to operate models, agents, databases, proof systems, or infrastructure. The organisation or service owns those mechanics; the person owns the question, files, review, correction, export, deletion request, and consequential choice appropriate to the workflow. Keep website publication, delivered software, customer configuration, operating result, independent assurance and written contract as separate evidence layers. A strong statement at one layer must not silently become a guarantee at another.

LENS 1

Answer the website's common objections

Define the exact proposition and current authoritative source.

LENS 2

Point specialist readers to the appropriate guide

Name the responsible person, system, dependency, and version.

LENS 3

Scope and limits

Specify the evidence and how an independent reviewer checks it.

LENS 4

Owner and evidence

Record scope, failure, unresolved question, and safe next action.

OWNED ARTEFACT

This chapter contributes to a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action.

CHAPTER 12 • APPLY

Turn “Straight answers” into decision evidence

Move from explanation to a record an accountable owner can use, challenge, update, and carry into proof, procurement, operation, or exit.

Begin with the chapter proposition, answer the website's common objections and point specialist readers to the appropriate guide., and write it as one or more falsifiable questions. For each question, define scope and time, authoritative website source or customer source, delivered artefact, relevant delivered mechanism, named owner, comparison or acceptance rule, raw evidence, known limitation, and decision consequence.

Use the guide-wide scenario: a person uploads a letter or policy document, asks what it means, checks the cited passage, asks a follow-up, saves only the useful material, and knows when a human must decide. Walk it end to end and ask what this chapter changes at request, source, model/tool/rule, human authority, target, evidence, deployment, operation, commercial or exit state. Do not accept a screenshot or summary when the underlying manifest, result, packet, record or contractual term can be inspected.

The proof discipline for this guide is: Open the current Fabric experience, use a non-sensitive representative document, inspect every source and uncertainty state, test a missing answer and correction, review memory/export/clear controls, and confirm the current price and data-path terms before committing. Preserve negative and unverifiable results. A gap can be remediated, contracted, accepted by the competent owner, or block the decision; it must not disappear behind roadmap language or an average score.

QUESTION	REQUIRED RECORD	OWNER / STOP
What is claimed?	Exact wording, scope, date, source	Guide decision owner
What exists?	Delivered manifest, interface, artefact	Technical/product owner
What is configured?	Customer data, roles, rules, posture	Customer control owner
What happened?	Raw run, target state, packet, metric	Domain/operations owner
What is assured?	Test/report scope, findings, limits	Independent challenger
What is committed?	Offer/agreement, remedy, exit term	Procurement/legal owner

Evidence ladder for straight answers; not every question needs every row, but no row may be implied without evidence.

DECISION RECORD

Record pass, conditional pass, gap, fail, or unverifiable; link evidence, owner, remediation, date, residual risk, and effect on understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.

FIND A SUBJECT

Subject index

Page references point to the substantive explanation, worked example, control, boundary, or decision record, not merely to a passing label.

A Acceptance criteria 3, 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32	A Accountability 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32	A Adoption 24
A Appeal and challenge 3, 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21-24, 26, 28, 30, 32	A Architecture 3-4, 15	A Assurance 4, 7, 9, 11, 13, 16-18, 20, 22, 25, 27-29, 31
A Authority 4-6, 8, 10, 12, 14-15, 17, 19, 21, 23-24, 26, 28, 30, 32	A Availability 4	B Boundaries 3, 6-7, 9, 11, 13, 15-16, 18, 20, 22, 24-25, 27, 29, 31
C Compliance 4	C Configuration 4, 7, 9, 11-13, 16, 18, 20, 22-23, 25, 27, 29, 31	C Continuity 24
C Contracts 4-5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 16-18, 20, 22, 25, 27-29, 31	D Decision records 4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32	D Dependencies 7, 9, 11, 13, 16, 18, 20, 22, 25, 27, 29, 31
D Deployment 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21, 23, 26, 28-30, 32	D Due diligence 4, 24	E Evidence 3-32
E Exit 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21, 23-24, 26, 28, 30, 32	F Fabric 3, 5, 7-14, 16-23, 25-32	F Failure 3, 6-7, 9, 11, 13, 15-16, 18, 20, 22, 24-25, 27, 29, 31
H Hardware 4	H Human authority 5, 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32	I Inputs 7, 9, 11, 13, 16, 18, 20, 22, 25, 27, 29, 31
L Licensing 4	L Limits and exclusions 5, 7-14, 16-23, 25-32	M Manifests 4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32
M Models and solvers 3, 5, 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32	O Operations 4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21, 23-26, 28, 30, 32	O Outputs 3-4
O Ownership 4, 6-32	P Performance 4	P Policies 3-5, 8, 10, 12, 14-15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32
P Portability 27-28	P Pricing 4-5, 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21, 23, 26, 28-30, 32	P Privacy 4, 27-28

SUBJECT INDEX CONTINUED

Subject index · P–W

Terms are grouped alphabetically. Consecutive page references are collapsed into ranges.

P**Procurement**

8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32

P**Proof**

4–5, 7–14, 16–23, 25–32

R**Recovery**

24

R**Responsibility**

4, 6, 13–14

R**Retention**

5

R**Rights**

4–5

R**Risk**

3–4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32

R**Rules**

4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16–17, 19, 21, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32

S**Security**

4

S**Sources**

3–32

S**Sovereignty**

4–5, 7–14, 16–23, 25–32

S**State**

3, 5–14, 16–23, 25–32

T**Testing**

3–5, 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32

V**Verification**

3, 5

V**Versioning**

4, 7–9, 11, 13, 16, 18–20, 22, 25, 27, 29–31

W**Workflows**

3–4, 7, 9, 11, 13, 16, 18, 20, 22, 25, 27, 29, 31

CLOSING DECISION RECORD

Close with one evidence-backed, reversible decision

The guide is complete when its reader can decide whether to proceed, narrow, remediate, contract a condition, hold, reject, or exit, and another person can understand why.

Assemble a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action. State the question, scope and exclusions, scenario or workflow, current baseline, canonical source snapshot, delivered manifest, customer configuration, corpus and method, results and failures, owner decisions, risks, operational and commercial conditions, evidence links, remedies, stop/exit and review triggers.

Run the final discipline: Open the current Fabric experience, use a non-sensitive representative document, inspect every source and uncertainty state, test a missing answer and correction, review memory/export/clear controls, and confirm the current price and data-path terms before committing.

Have the person, workspace or organisation owner, source owner, human reviewer where consequential, support, privacy/data-rights, and service operator sign only the boundaries they own. Record pass, conditional pass, gap, fail or unverifiable per proposition. Preserve dissent and raw evidence. A brochure can organise the decision; it cannot make the evidence true or take responsibility from the people who rely on it.

DECISION RECORD		explained-decision
QUESTION	understand what Dweve is, what a person actually uses, why its answers are meant to be checkable, and whether to try Fabric or continue to a more specialised guide.	BOUNDED
SOURCES	website copy + delivered material	CAPTURED
PROOF	corpus + failures + recovery	RUN
OWNERS	domain + technical + assurance	SIGNED
CONDITIONS	gaps + remedies + contract	DATED
DECISION	go / narrow / hold / stop / exit	RECORDED
The closing record remains useful after the presentation, release, team, supplier, or contract changes.		

GUIDE OUTPUT

Produce a plain-language answer record with its source, uncertainty, reviewer state, and next action.