

MARKETING BROCHURE • 20 PAGES

Dweve for Trading

A standalone visual briefing for trading leaders, practitioners, technology teams, and reviewers.

VISUAL BRIEFING

TRADING LEADERS, DOMAIN OWNERS, OPERATIONS, TECHNOLOGY, RISK, AND ASSURANCE TEAMS

ENGLISH




Scope and prove one accountable trading workflow.

SC13

THE PROPOSITION

One trading decision people can answer for

Existing OMS, EMS, and FIX gateways keep working. Dweve wraps them in a deterministic decision layer that records every order, every rule, and every trader action as the fill happens.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through the proposition.

CONTEXT

Read the promise against the operating reality

Existing OMS, EMS, and FIX gateways keep working. Dweve wraps them in a deterministic decision layer that records every order, every rule, and every trader action as the fill happens. The replay packet is the audit artefact.

Existing OMS, EMS, and FIX gateways keep working. Dweve wraps them in a deterministic decision layer that records every order, every rule, and every trader action as the fill happens.

- Purpose
- Source
- Rule
- Decision

QUESTION TO CARRY

Which part of this proposition changes the outcome people receive today?

WHERE TO BEGIN

Six sector conversations worth having

A sector brochure must stand on its own. These are practical starting points, not a promise that every workflow should use AI.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through where to begin.

BOUNDARY

Choose a starting point with a real consequence

Order routing is the workflow most damaged by float drift and market data skew. Dweve runs the routing decision on integer arithmetic, pins to a specific venue selection rule version, and ships the same routing every time. The packet is the audit artefact when AFM calls.

Clearing and settlement are the workflows most damaged by CCP margin skew and registry lag. Dweve wraps the trade lifecycle in a deterministic decision layer so every fill, every margin call, and every settlement instruction carries the same signed packet through the CCP and the CSD.

- Order review
- Venue choice
- Surveillance
- Risk controls


QUESTION TO CARRY

Which bounded workflow has a real owner and a consequence worth improving?

THE CURRENT ESTATE

Keep the systems that already hold operational truth

The accountable layer connects to systems of record and control without pretending to replace every specialist system.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through the current estate.

CONTEXT

Keep existing operational truth in the picture

Every trade flows into the regulatory filings the supervisor expects. RTS 27/28 best-exec reports, SFTR SFT returns, DORA ICT risk registers, and MAR suspicious-order alerts all draw from the same fill packets. One source of truth, four filings.

A head of execution does not buy a platform. She survives an AFM best-ex review and a transaction-reporting audit. MiFID II RTS 27/28, SFTR, MAR, and DORA each want the same thing: the rule, the venue, the trader, and the replay of every fill. Today that record lives in OMS, EMS, FIX logs, and spreadsheets that were never meant to agree.

- Authoritative estate
- Bounded decision layer
- Human and system hand-off
- Purpose


QUESTION TO CARRY

Which systems remain authoritative, and what may the new layer change?

REPRESENTATIVE WORKFLOW

A sector decision moves through one visible chain

The exact systems and roles change by organisation. The responsibility pattern remains inspectable.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through representative workflow.

BOUNDARY

Follow one decision without skipping a boundary

Move from order to fill with the rule, venue, trader, and model version recorded at execution time. Best-execution review, transaction reporting, and the trade lifecycle draw from that same record when AFM, BaFin, or AMF asks.

Pick one workflow: order routing, best execution, transaction reporting, or trade lifecycle. Run it with your own FIX feed and watch the review packet assemble as the work moves. Managed through Fabric on the public Dweve Mesh, in your co-location, or air-gapped.

- Receive
- Ground
- Recommend
- Authorise

QUESTION TO CARRY

Where do source, rule, computation, review, and action join?

SECTOR ASSURANCE

Different people need different proof from the same event

A single technical log rarely answers every question. Design the record around the people who will actually use it.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through sector assurance.

CONTEXT

Give each reader the proof they actually need

Your trade confirmation should explain more than the final price. Dweve keeps the venue, execution price, and responsible trader with the fill, giving you a clear record of the route and any slippage.

Two questions decide procurement: where does it run, and which rules does it satisfy. It runs managed through Fabric on the public Dweve Mesh, in your co-location, or fully air-gapped, with no re-platforming between tiers. MiFID II, SFTR, DORA, and the EU AI Act are design constraints the platform satisfies by construction.

- A reason and a route to challenge
- State, authority, and next action
- A resolvable evidence packet
- Purpose

QUESTION TO CARRY

Can the person, operator, and reviewer each obtain the proof they need?

USE AND FIT

Where trading work can earn its place

Start with responsibilities that have a real owner, useful sources, and a consequence worth improving.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through use and fit.

BOUNDARY

Separate useful scope from attractive distraction

Transaction reporting is the canonical capital-markets workflow most damaged by float drift and venue data skew. Dweve runs the report on integer arithmetic, pins to a specific venue and instrument version, and ships the same fields every time.

Every order book snapshot runs on a deterministic engine. Bit-exact replay across machines and releases. Same book on the trading desk, in the cloud, and on a laptop. No floating-point drift, no chip-dependent rounding.

- Order review
- Venue choice
- Surveillance
- Risk controls


QUESTION TO CARRY

Where does this earn a place, and where should it deliberately not be used?

THE PATH

How trading work moves from question to decision

A focused engagement should make the next decision easier, not create a larger promise.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through the path.

WHY THIS SHAPE WORKS

The workflow, operating boundary, evidence, and decision criteria are considered together from the start.

CONTEXT

Make every stage earn the next one

Every numerical computation in the pricing and best-ex path is verified against MPFR at 256-bit precision. The published result is 0 ULP, correctly rounded across the documented input domain, with property-based tests driving the corners on every release.

Every fill your broker makes is appealable. The same record the trader signed is the record you can challenge. If you disagree with the venue, the price, or the slippage, the complaint path is on the confirmation.

- Purpose
- Rule
- Authority
- Evidence


QUESTION TO CARRY

What evidence must exist before the scope is allowed to grow?

THE VALUE PICTURE

trading work seen from three responsibilities

Good AI work must make sense to the person using it, the team operating it, and the people reviewing it.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through the value picture.

PRIMARY READER

Trading leaders, domain owners, operations, technology, risk, and assurance teams

The first conversation.

DECISION SUPPORTED

Scope and prove one accountable trading workflow.

The next useful step.

BOUNDARY

Read the same outcome from several responsibilities

Integrate via REST, gRPC, or FIX against a typed surface. Managed through Fabric on the public Dweve Mesh for pilots. Licensed for your co-location. Air-gapped for the most sensitive clearing data. Same API, same trace format, same replay packets across all three.

- Purpose
- Rule
- Authority
- Source


QUESTION TO CARRY

Can the same result satisfy its user, operator, and reviewer?

THE OPERATING MODEL

The control model around trading work

A credible proposition connects the visible result to the less visible responsibilities underneath it.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through the operating model.

CONTEXT

Name the controls behind the simple interface

Routing rule NL-2026-H1 section 3.4 applied because the dark venue BVX offered a 0.6 bps price improvement at time of arrival versus the primary Euronext AMS book. Trader J. Pietersen confirmed the routing. The same fill replays bit-identical, with the FIX trace, the rule, and the trader on one screen.

When your broker uses Dweve, your fill is not a guess from a machine. It is tied to a venue, a price, and a named trader who can explain the slippage. If you ask why, the answer is in writing.

- Purpose
- Source
- Rule
- Decision


QUESTION TO CARRY

Who owns each control when the interface appears simple?

THE RESPONSIBILITY FLOW

People, trading work, and evidence move together

The work becomes easier to govern when each stage leaves a clear hand-off.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through the responsibility flow.

BOUNDARY

Keep every hand-off attached to an owner

A trade is no longer a black box. The route, match, clear, and settle steps each have a named trader, a timestamp, and a rule attached.

Most people open managed Fabric in a browser and start without an infrastructure project. Organisations that need direct product operation inside their own perimeter move to a licensed deployment. Workflow and evidence contracts remain portable, while product access, keys, patches, logs, and operating responsibility change.

- Purpose
- Rule
- Authority
- Evidence


QUESTION TO CARRY

Is every hand-off visible, bounded, and assigned to an owner?

SOURCE TO RESULT

trading work: from authoritative source to result

The work stays explainable when source identity, transformation, decision, and hand-off remain visible between systems.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through source to result.

CONTEXT

Keep the basis visible as the work changes form

Every order, fill, and clearing instruction leaves a signed packet: the FIX source, the rule that fired, the trader, and a bit-exact replay. The supervisor opens one file and watches the same fill come back.

The decision layer never crosses a trust boundary that fails the MiFID II or DORA rules. The same topology ships on all three postures.

- Identify
- Prepare
- Apply
- Review


QUESTION TO CARRY

Can the result still be connected to the exact basis that shaped it?

EVIDENCE PACKET

The evidence trading work leaves with the work

Capture enough to explain the result, inspect authority, investigate failure, and make the next decision without rebuilding the story from memory.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through evidence packet.

BOUNDARY

Preserve the record needed by the next question

Bit-exact replay for order routing, matching, and best-ex analysis. MiFID II / MiFIR aware, DORA compliant, EU-sovereign. Integrate via API, host in the EU, or run air-gapped in your co-location.

You do not need a lawyer to challenge a fill. The packet is in plain language and the venue, the rule, and the trader are named on the confirmation.

- Purpose
- Basis
- Authority
- Action


QUESTION TO CARRY

Does the retained record answer the next difficult question without reconstruction?

DEPLOYMENT CHOICE

Choose the operating posture for trading work

Location is only one dimension. Decide who operates, who holds keys, which dependencies exist, how updates arrive, and what continuity requires.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through deployment choice.

CONTEXT

Compare operating responsibility, not location alone

Determinism is asserted in the suite, not promised in prose. The same oracle run on your hardware produces the same answer as on ours.

Managed customers use Fabric, its business API and Agent SDK on the public Dweve Mesh. A licensed deployment adds direct operation of Core and the platform products on customer-controlled infrastructure. Key custody, updates, logs, product access, and source rights follow that boundary.

- Begin through managed Fabric
- Run on customer infrastructure
- Close the environment
- Purpose


QUESTION TO CARRY

Who holds infrastructure, keys, updates, logs, recovery, and the exit path?

WHAT TO LOOK FOR

Signals that trading work is earning trust

Progress is a useful outcome under understood control, supported by reviewable evidence.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through what to look for.

BOUNDARY

Use signals that can change the next decision

Every trade carries the same packet from the desk through the CCP to the CSD. The CSDR settlement discipline audit is a retrieval, not a reconstruction.

The order is the easy part. Reconstructing the why of every fill six months later, in front of an AFM reviewer, is the hard part.

- Useful
- Controlled
- Provable
- Purpose


QUESTION TO CARRY

Which signals would change the next decision rather than merely impress the room?

HONEST LIMITS

Test how trading work fails before the first success demo

Visible failure is more useful than a polished result whose limits remain unknown.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through honest limits.

CONTEXT

Design the failure before presenting the success

The fill stays inside your jurisdiction. The same platform scales from a single desk to a full prime-brokerage pipeline without re-platforming.

The trace still records inputs, operations, and policy decisions, but does not pin every sampling choice to a fixed result. Useful for development and exploratory work where the audit record matters more than exact reproduction.

- Limit breach
- Venue unavailable
- Settlement mismatch


QUESTION TO CARRY

What happens when a source, permission, target, model, or supplier is unavailable?

ACCEPTANCE PATH

Close the trading work proof with a decision

Agree the decision path before the demonstration so a strong or weak result can change what happens next.



PROCEED · NARROW · HOLD · REJECT

How to read this visual: follow an order review case through acceptance path.

BOUNDARY

Close the proof with an owned decision

Policy evaluation in Lattice and proof generation in AION are pure functions of their inputs. Both run with no network access.

Choose managed Fabric on the public Dweve Mesh, direct licensed operation on your infrastructure, or an isolated licensed perimeter. Workflow and evidence contracts remain portable; product access, keys, patches, logs, source rights, and operational responsibility change.

- Baseline
- Accept
- Exercise
- Review


QUESTION TO CARRY

Are success, counter-metrics, failure cases, and stop conditions agreed first?

ADOPTION

Adopt trading work through owned choices

Move from one bounded result to a repeatable operating capability without making the scope vague.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through adoption.

CONTEXT

Expand only what proved reusable

Start in a European region, move the same workflow into your own environment, or isolate it completely. The operating model changes; the way people review and approve work does not.

Start in managed Fabric: open a browser, sign in, and ask. If your organisation later needs direct product operation inside its own perimeter, use a licensed transition plan that carries the workflow and evidence contracts into customer-controlled infrastructure.

- Prove one workflow
- Own the operation
- Repeat the pattern
- Add responsibility


QUESTION TO CARRY

What can be reused safely, and what requires a new decision and proof?

WHAT TO PREPARE

What to bring to the trading working session

A small amount of real context produces a better conversation than a broad catalogue of hypothetical features.



How to read this visual: follow an order review case through what to prepare.

KEEP IT BOUNDED

Sensitive production data is not required for the first conversation. Bring representative material and the rules that define a useful result.

BOUNDARY

Bring enough reality to make the session useful

Fabric is the app you actually use. You log in, start a conversation, upload documents, or build a small workflow. Behind the scenes the model powers the answers, the network handles the compute, and the agents do the organizing, but you never have to configure any of that. The trace is always there if you want to see how an answer was reached.

A trace on every decision means a real question comes back with the source it used, the rule it applied, the owner who signed, and a sealed record you can replay. Here is the shape of that evidence. The example is illustrative until your own data is connected.

- Bring one workflow
- Bring representative sources
- Agree the proof
- Purpose

QUESTION TO CARRY

Which real sources, rules, owners, and examples will make the first session honest?

THE INVITATION

Continue the trading work conversation

Scope a briefing, demonstration, or proof around the workflow and decision that matter to your organisation.

●
dweve.com/contact

✓

→

≡

ONE MAINTAINED PUBLIC ROUTE

Current contact choices stay on the website

CONTINUE ONLINE

dweve.com/contact

Current route and contact choices

START A CONVERSATION

Continue through the current contact page

Scope a workflow or ask a technical question, then use the contact page to begin.

START	dweve.com/contact Use the maintained public contact route
BRING	One bounded question Workflow, audience, decision, and desired evidence
CHOOSE	Briefing · demonstration · PoV Select the smallest useful next step
VERIFY	Current scope and terms Confirm availability, pricing, and responsibilities before commitment

CONTEXT

Turn the brochure into one bounded next step

The access model decides who operates the products, who holds the keys, and where the boundary sits. Workflow and evidence contracts remain portable, but rights are not identical.

No workflow rebuild is required, but the access boundary changes. Managed customers use Fabric and its business surfaces; licensed customers operate the products directly.

- Start: dweve.com/contact
- Bring: One bounded question
- Choose: Briefing · demonstration · PoV
- Verify: Current scope and terms

QUESTION TO CARRY

What is the smallest useful next conversation Dweve should prepare?