

MARKETING BROCHURE • 20 PAGES


Dweve for Research organisations

A standalone visual briefing for research organisations leaders, practitioners, technology teams, and reviewers.

VISUAL BRIEFING

RESEARCH ORGANISATIONS LEADERS, DOMAIN OWNERS, OPERATIONS, TECHNOLOGY, RISK, AND ASSURANCE TEAMS

ENGLISH




Scope and prove one accountable research organisations workflow.

SC14

THE PROPOSITION

One research organisations decision people can answer for

Existing notebooks, scripts, and lab information systems keep working. Dweve wraps them in a deterministic decision layer that records every input, every rule, and every PI signature as the figure is produced.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through the proposition.

MECHANISM

Read the promise against the operating reality

Existing Jupyter notebooks, R scripts, and Stata do-files keep working. Dweve wraps them in a deterministic decision layer that records every cell, every rule, and every PI signature as the figure is produced. The replay packet is the audit artefact.

Existing notebooks, scripts, and lab information systems keep working. Dweve wraps them in a deterministic decision layer that records every input, every rule, and every PI signature as the figure is produced.

- Purpose
- Source
- Rule
- Decision


QUESTION TO CARRY

Which part of this proposition changes the outcome people receive today?

WHERE TO BEGIN

Six sector conversations worth having

A sector brochure must stand on its own. These are practical starting points, not a promise that every workflow should use AI.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through where to begin.

DECISION

Choose a starting point with a real consequence

Pick one workflow: pre-registration, data ingestion, analysis run, or peer review response. Run it with your own data and watch the reproduction packet assemble as the work moves. Managed through Fabric on the public Dweve Mesh, on your hardware, or air-gapped.

Publish each result with the hypothesis, data, code, environment, and model version already attached. Reviewers, collaborators, and auditors start from the same executable record instead of asking the team to reconstruct it months later when reviewer 2 asks.

- Preregistration
- Data lineage
- Analysis
- Figure production


QUESTION TO CARRY

Which bounded workflow has a real owner and a consequence worth improving?

THE CURRENT ESTATE

Keep the systems that already hold operational truth

The accountable layer connects to systems of record and control without pretending to replace every specialist system.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through the current estate.

MECHANISM

Keep existing operational truth in the picture

Every paper produces one signed packet. Data DOI-cited, code hashed, environment captured, figure pinned. The reviewer, the replicator, and the audit firm all pull the same artefact. One source of truth, three audiences.

The funder report, the ethics audit, and the peer review all pull from one packet. One source of truth, three audiences.

- Authoritative estate
- Bounded decision layer
- Human and system hand-off
- Purpose


QUESTION TO CARRY

Which systems remain authoritative, and what may the new layer change?

REPRESENTATIVE WORKFLOW

A sector decision moves through one visible chain

The exact systems and roles change by organisation. The responsibility pattern remains inspectable.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through representative workflow.

DECISION

Follow one decision without skipping a boundary

A research director does not buy a platform. She survives peer review, replication studies, and funding audits. FAIR data principles, Open Access mandates, GDPR, and the EU AI Act each want the same thing: the hypothesis, the data, the code, the environment, and the PI of every figure. Today that record lives in OSF, Zenodo, GitHub, and email threads that were never meant to agree.

Pre-registration is the workflow most damaged by post-hoc analysis. Dweve freezes the hypothesis, the rule, and the model version at registration time. The replay reconstructs the exact analysis the PI committed to before looking at the data.

- Receive
- Ground
- Recommend
- Authorise


QUESTION TO CARRY

Where do source, rule, computation, review, and action join?


SECTOR ASSURANCE

Different people need different proof from the same event

A single technical log rarely answers every question. Design the record around the people who will actually use it.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through sector assurance.



MECHANISM

Give each reader the proof they actually need

A figure in a paper is no longer a black box. The hypothesis, the data, the code, and the PI sit together from the moment the analysis runs. If the reviewer asks, the author can show why the figure came out the way it did.

Two questions decide procurement: where does it run, and which rules does it satisfy. It runs managed through Fabric on the public Dweve Mesh, on your hardware, or fully air-gapped for classified data. GDPR, FAIR, and the EU AI Act are design constraints the platform satisfies by construction.

- A reason and a route to challenge
- State, authority, and next action
- A resolvable evidence packet
- Purpose

QUESTION TO CARRY

Can the person, operator, and reviewer each obtain the proof they need?

USE AND FIT

Where research organisations work can earn its place

Start with responsibilities that have a real owner, useful sources, and a consequence worth improving.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through use and fit.

DECISION

Separate useful scope from attractive distraction

When your research lab uses Dweve, the study you read is not a black box. It is tied to a DOI, a named PI, and a packet you can replay on your own laptop. If you ask why, the answer is on the paper.

Funding agencies and ethics boards increasingly require reproducibility evidence. Dweve produces the artefacts each framework expects, as the work happens. EU Horizon Europe, ERC, NWO, and DFG reports all draw from the same packets.

- Preregistration
- Data lineage
- Analysis
- Figure production


QUESTION TO CARRY

Where does this earn a place, and where should it deliberately not be used?

THE PATH

How research organisations work moves from question to decision

A focused engagement should make the next decision easier, not create a larger promise.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through the path.

WHY THIS SHAPE WORKS

The workflow, operating boundary, evidence, and decision criteria are considered together from the start.

MECHANISM

Make every stage earn the next one

A result is easier to trust when you can inspect the path behind it. Dweve links the study to its DOI, research lead, data, and a packet you can replay on your own computer.

Every numerical computation in the analysis path is verified against MPFR at 256-bit precision. The published result is 0 ULP, correctly rounded across the documented input domain, with property-based tests driving the corners on every release.

- Purpose
- Rule
- Authority
- Evidence

QUESTION TO CARRY

What evidence must exist before the scope is allowed to grow?

THE VALUE PICTURE

research organisations work seen from three responsibilities

Good AI work must make sense to the person using it, the team operating it, and the people reviewing it.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through the value picture.

PRIMARY READER

Research organisations leaders, domain owners, operations, technology, risk, and assurance teams

The first conversation.

DECISION SUPPORTED

Scope and prove one accountable research organisations workflow.

The next useful step.

DECISION

Read the same outcome from several responsibilities

Three operating models, one evidence architecture. Managed Fabric runs on the public Mesh. Licensed operation brings direct products into your lab cluster. Air-gapped operation protects classified or sensitive-subject data. Each model keeps decisions and evidence inside its declared trust boundary.

Integrate via REST or gRPC against a typed OpenAPI 3.1 surface. Managed through Fabric on the public Dweve Mesh for pilots. Licensed for your lab cluster. Air-gapped for classified data. Same API, same trace format, same replay packets across all three.

- Purpose
- Rule
- Authority
- Source


QUESTION TO CARRY

Can the same result satisfy its user, operator, and reviewer?

THE OPERATING MODEL

The control model around research organisations work

A credible proposition connects the visible result to the less visible responsibilities underneath it.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through the operating model.

MECHANISM

Name the controls behind the simple interface

You do not need a lab of your own to challenge a result. The packet is in plain language and the data, the code, and the PI are named on the paper.

Every published result is replicable. The same packet the PI signed is the packet you can replicate on your own hardware. If you disagree with the figure, the replication path is on the paper.

- Purpose
- Source
- Rule
- Decision

QUESTION TO CARRY

Who owns each control when the interface appears simple?

THE RESPONSIBILITY FLOW

People, research organisations work, and evidence move together

The work becomes easier to govern when each stage leaves a clear hand-off.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through the responsibility flow.

DECISION

Keep every hand-off attached to an owner

Most people open managed Fabric in a browser and start without an infrastructure project. Organisations that need direct product operation inside their own perimeter move to a licensed deployment. Workflow and evidence contracts remain portable, while product access, keys, patches, logs, and operating responsibility change.

Every figure, table, and number leaves a signed packet: the data, the rule, the environment, the PI, and a bit-exact replay. The reviewer opens one file and watches the same figure come back.

- Purpose
- Rule
- Authority
- Evidence


QUESTION TO CARRY

Is every hand-off visible, bounded, and assigned to an owner?

SOURCE TO RESULT

research organisations work: from authoritative source to result

The work stays explainable when source identity, transformation, decision, and hand-off remain visible between systems.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through source to result.

MECHANISM

Keep the basis visible as the work changes form

Every dataset links to its lineage back to source. Source, raw, processed, features, result. Each step signed, each rule versioned, each PI named. The replay reconstructs the exact figure years later.

The data stays inside your jurisdiction. The same platform scales from a single PhD project to a full multi-lab consortium without re-platforming.

- Identify
- Prepare
- Apply
- Review

QUESTION TO CARRY

Can the result still be connected to the exact basis that shaped it?

EVIDENCE PACKET

The evidence research organisations work leaves with the work

Capture enough to explain the result, inspect authority, investigate failure, and make the next decision without rebuilding the story from memory.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through evidence packet.

DECISION

Preserve the record needed by the next question

Every figure, table, and number in a paper runs on a deterministic engine. Bit-exact replay across machines and releases. Same figure on the PI laptop, the cluster, and the reviewer workstation. No floating-point drift, no chip-dependent rounding.

Bit-exact replay for every figure, table, and number in a paper. CPU-native, 0 ULP verified, EU-sovereign. Integrate via API, host in the EU, or run air-gapped on classified data.

- Purpose
- Basis
- Authority
- Action


QUESTION TO CARRY

Does the retained record answer the next difficult question without reconstruction?

DEPLOYMENT CHOICE

Choose the operating posture for research organisations work

Location is only one dimension. Decide who operates, who holds keys, which dependencies exist, how updates arrive, and what continuity requires.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through deployment choice.

MECHANISM

Compare operating responsibility, not location alone

Managed customers use Fabric, its business API and Agent SDK on the public Dweve Mesh. A licensed deployment adds direct operation of Core and the platform products on customer-controlled infrastructure. Key custody, updates, logs, product access, and source rights follow that boundary.

Determinism is asserted in the suite, not promised in prose. The same oracle run on your hardware produces the same answer as on ours.

- Begin through managed Fabric
- Run on customer infrastructure
- Close the environment
- Purpose


QUESTION TO CARRY

Who holds infrastructure, keys, updates, logs, recovery, and the exit path?

WHAT TO LOOK FOR

Signals that research organisations work is earning trust

Progress is a useful outcome under understood control, supported by reviewable evidence.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through what to look for.

DECISION

Use signals that can change the next decision

The decision layer never crosses a trust boundary that fails the GDPR or ethics rules. The same topology ships on all three postures.

Pre-registration 2026-0184 hypothesis H3, tested against 14,820 samples collected under ethics approval EUR-2026-014. Feature extractor v2.4 applied, deterministic compute produced the survival curve. PI Jansen signed the figure on 2026-06-04. The same figure replays bit-identical, with the data, the rule, and the PI on one screen.

- Useful
- Controlled
- Provable
- Purpose


QUESTION TO CARRY

Which signals would change the next decision rather than merely impress the room?

HONEST LIMITS

Test how research organisations work fails before the first success demo

Visible failure is more useful than a polished result whose limits remain unknown.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through honest limits.

MECHANISM

Design the failure before presenting the success

The analysis is the easy part. Reconstructing the why of every figure six months later, in front of reviewer 2, is the hard part.

The trace still records inputs, operations, and policy decisions, but does not pin every sampling choice to a fixed result. Useful for development and exploratory work where the audit record matters more than exact reproduction.

- Protocol drift
- Lineage gap
- Result mismatch


QUESTION TO CARRY

What happens when a source, permission, target, model, or supplier is unavailable?

ACCEPTANCE PATH

Close the research organisations work proof with a decision

Agree the decision path before the demonstration so a strong or weak result can change what happens next.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through acceptance path.

DECISION

Close the proof with an owned decision

Policy evaluation in Lattice and proof generation in AION are pure functions of their inputs. Both run with no network access.

Choose managed Fabric on the public Dweve Mesh, direct licensed operation on your infrastructure, or an isolated licensed perimeter. Workflow and evidence contracts remain portable; product access, keys, patches, logs, source rights, and operational responsibility change.

- Baseline
- Accept
- Exercise
- Review


QUESTION TO CARRY

Are success, counter-metrics, failure cases, and stop conditions agreed first?

ADOPTION

Adopt research organisations work through owned choices

Move from one bounded result to a repeatable operating capability without making the scope vague.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through adoption.

MECHANISM

Expand only what proved reusable

Start in managed Fabric: open a browser, sign in, and ask. If your organisation later needs direct product operation inside its own perimeter, use a licensed transition plan that carries the workflow and evidence contracts into customer-controlled infrastructure.

Start in a European region, move the same workflow into your own environment, or isolate it completely. The operating model changes; the way people review and approve work does not.

- Prove one workflow
- Own the operation
- Repeat the pattern
- Add responsibility


QUESTION TO CARRY

What can be reused safely, and what requires a new decision and proof?

WHAT TO PREPARE

What to bring to the research organisations working session

A small amount of real context produces a better conversation than a broad catalogue of hypothetical features.



How to read this visual: follow a reproducible study through what to prepare.

KEEP IT BOUNDED

Sensitive production data is not required for the first conversation. Bring representative material and the rules that define a useful result.

DECISION

Bring enough reality to make the session useful

Fabric is the app you actually use. You log in, start a conversation, upload documents, or build a small workflow. Behind the scenes the model powers the answers, the network handles the compute, and the agents do the organizing, but you never have to configure any of that. The trace is always there if you want to see how an answer was reached.

A trace on every decision means a real question comes back with the source it used, the rule it applied, the owner who signed, and a sealed record you can replay. Here is the shape of that evidence. The example is illustrative until your own data is connected.

- Bring one workflow
- Bring representative sources
- Agree the proof
- Purpose

QUESTION TO CARRY

Which real sources, rules, owners, and examples will make the first session honest?

THE INVITATION

Continue the research organisations work conversation

Scope a briefing, demonstration, or proof around the workflow and decision that matter to your organisation.

● dweve.com/contact



ONE MAINTAINED PUBLIC ROUTE

Current contact choices stay on the website

CONTINUE ONLINE

dweve.com/contact

Current route and contact choices

START A CONVERSATION

Continue through the current contact page

Scope a workflow or ask a technical question, then use the contact page to begin.

START	dweve.com/contact Use the maintained public contact route
BRING	One bounded question Workflow, audience, decision, and desired evidence
CHOOSE	Briefing · demonstration · PoV Select the smallest useful next step
VERIFY	Current scope and terms Confirm availability, pricing, and responsibilities before commitment

MECHANISM

Turn the brochure into one bounded next step

The access model decides who operates the products, who holds the keys, and where the boundary sits. Workflow and evidence contracts remain portable, but rights are not identical.

No workflow rebuild is required, but the access boundary changes. Managed customers use Fabric and its business surfaces; licensed customers operate the products directly.

- Start: dweve.com/contact
- Bring: One bounded question
- Choose: Briefing · demonstration · PoV
- Verify: Current scope and terms

QUESTION TO CARRY

What is the smallest useful next conversation Dweve should prepare?