

MARKETING BROCHURE · 16 PAGES


Open foundations and portable outcomes

Inspect bounded mechanisms, retain useful artefacts, and plan a credible exit.

VISUAL BRIEFING

ENGINEERING, ARCHITECTURE, OPEN-SOURCE, AND CONTINUITY TEAMS

ENGLISH




Understand openness as a practical engineering and continuity question.

M09

THE PROPOSITION

Inspect what your outcome depends on

Every brief resolves to a print-ready PDF with no sign-up between the page and the file. The same file every time, byte for byte. The platform itself is built on an Apache 2.0 open set published on github.com/dweve-ai.



How to read this visual: follow a portable outcome through the proposition.

CONTEXT

Read the promise against the operating reality

Source intake, mathematics, policy, proof, event history, and document handling do not disappear inside one platform. Each has a focused project and an explicit handoff, so operations can locate the owner of a result, inspect the evidence, and change one layer without replacing the whole route.

The projects are not a bag of utilities. Each owns one boundary in the work: source intake, structure, retrieval, policy, proof, or event history. Operations can inspect the handoff where a result changed instead of reconstructing one opaque application.

- Mechanism
- Interface
- Artefact
- Verification


QUESTION TO CARRY

Which part of this proposition changes the outcome people receive today?

USE AND FIT

Where this proposition can earn its place

Start with responsibilities that have a real owner, useful sources, and a consequence worth improving.



How to read this visual: follow a portable outcome through use and fit.

BOUNDARY

Separate useful scope from attractive distraction

Fabric is the workspace you use. Underneath it, fourteen focused projects each own one narrow task, from gathering and structuring material to checking policy and recording what happened. They compose through explicit handoffs, so you receive one coherent result without having to operate fourteen separate tools.

A useful composition path starts with source intake and ends with a signed event record. Each project narrows one concern and passes an explicit artefact forward, so retrieval, policy, proof, and replay can evolve without collapsing into one runtime.

- Mechanism
- Interface
- Artefact
- Verification

QUESTION TO CARRY

Where does this earn a place, and where should it deliberately not be used?

THE PATH

From first question to an informed decision

A focused engagement should make the next decision easier, not create a larger promise.



How to read this visual: follow a portable outcome through the path.

WHY THIS SHAPE WORKS

The workflow, operating boundary, evidence, and decision criteria are considered together from the start.

CONTEXT

Make every stage earn the next one

Fabric is the workspace you use. Fourteen focused projects work underneath it, each responsible for a specific part of the route: gathering material, organising it, retrieving what matters, checking rules, explaining a decision, or recording what happened.

Fabric is the workspace you use. Underneath it, focused parts gather the right material, organise it, find what matters, check the rules, explain the decision, and remember what happened. You do not operate any of them separately.

- Mechanism
- Artefact
- Rights
- Exit


QUESTION TO CARRY

What evidence must exist before the scope is allowed to grow?

THE VALUE PICTURE

One outcome, seen from three responsibilities

Good AI work must make sense to the person using it, the team operating it, and the people reviewing it.



How to read this visual: follow a portable outcome through the value picture.

PRIMARY READER

Engineering, architecture, open-source, and continuity teams

The first conversation.

DECISION SUPPORTED

Understand openness as a practical engineering and continuity question.

The next useful step.

BOUNDARY

Read the same outcome from several responsibilities

A benchmark matters only when the command behind it is clear. Choose a measured route, run the same mechanism on hardware you control, compare the result with the census, then rerun the tests that guard determinism.

Fourteen projects each own one architectural job, interface, and evidence trail. Use the census to find a responsibility, follow the composition route to see its handoffs, then reproduce a measured behaviour where a headline result is useful.

- Mechanism
- Artefact
- Rights
- Interface


QUESTION TO CARRY

Can the same result satisfy its user, operator, and reviewer?

THE OPERATING MODEL

Control follows the work, from outcome to exit

A credible proposition connects the visible result to the less visible responsibilities underneath it.



How to read this visual: follow a portable outcome through the operating model.

CONTEXT

Name the controls behind the simple interface

The census maps source intake, mathematics, policy, proof, event history, and data handling to one accountable project each. When a result changes, teams can locate the responsible handoff instead of auditing one opaque application.

Fourteen projects, one role each. Measurements appear only where a reproducible headline result helps explain the mechanism. Open any row to follow that project's interfaces, behaviour, and place in the composed stack.

- Mechanism
- Interface
- Artefact
- Verification


QUESTION TO CARRY

Who owns each control when the interface appears simple?

THE RESPONSIBILITY FLOW

People, platform, and evidence move together

The work becomes easier to govern when each stage leaves a clear hand-off.



How to read this visual: follow a portable outcome through the responsibility flow.

BOUNDARY

Keep every hand-off attached to an owner

Each project owns one narrow architectural job. Read its role, inspect the evidence point where one is useful, then open the project to follow the mechanism and interfaces in depth.

Dweve separates the work you see from the coordination, reasoning, evidence, and execution underneath. Each part has a clear job, and Mesh decides where it runs. That makes an answer easier to check without asking you to learn the architecture.

- Mechanism
- Artefact
- Rights
- Exit


QUESTION TO CARRY

Is every hand-off visible, bounded, and assigned to an owner?

SOURCE TO RESULT

Every useful outcome begins with an authoritative basis

The work stays explainable when source identity, transformation, decision, and hand-off remain visible between systems.



How to read this visual: follow a portable outcome through source to result.

CONTEXT

Keep the basis visible as the work changes form

Knowledge ingestion, regulatory analysis, financial document processing, e-discovery, archival and code-doc tooling all begin with office formats as input and structured data as output. Every document opens through the same three lines of Rust.

A replayable trace carries the source, rule, owner, and result. Audit teams can verify the decision without reconstructing evidence after the fact.

- Identify
- Prepare
- Apply
- Review


QUESTION TO CARRY

Can the result still be connected to the exact basis that shaped it?

EVIDENCE PACKET

The record should answer the next difficult question

Capture enough to explain the result, inspect authority, investigate failure, and make the next decision without rebuilding the story from memory.



How to read this visual: follow a portable outcome through evidence packet.

BOUNDARY

Preserve the record needed by the next question

You ask one question. Fabric handles the work you see; other parts coordinate it, reason over it, keep the evidence, and execute the required computation. Mesh decides where those parts run. You do not need to learn the architecture, but its clear boundaries make the result easier to inspect.

When an AI decision reaches a regulator, a patient, or a safety case, someone asks: show your work. Logs do not answer that question. Post hoc explanations merely ask you to trust another account from the same system. AION produces a proof certificate that any verifier can check offline, in linear time, without asking the solver. Eleven logical theories, a CDCL core with exact rational arithmetic, and proof export in standard formats make the reasoning inside one decision inspectable. Ledger records what happened across a system; AION certifies the reasoning inside one decision.

- Purpose
- Basis
- Authority
- Action


QUESTION TO CARRY

Does the retained record answer the next difficult question without reconstruction?

DEPLOYMENT CHOICE

Choose the operating posture around the work

Location is only one dimension. Decide who operates, who holds keys, which dependencies exist, how updates arrive, and what continuity requires.



How to read this visual: follow a portable outcome through deployment choice.

CONTEXT

Compare operating responsibility, not location alone

Two questions decide procurement: where does it run, and who controls it. Knot runs on the hardware you already own, on premise or in a European region, so the ledger stays inside a border you choose. You hold the signing key, and verification needs only the public half, so sovereignty is the default rather than an upgrade you negotiate for.

Each project page explains its mechanism, interfaces, and evidence. Start with the capability you need, then follow how it composes with the rest of the stack.

- Begin through managed Fabric
- Run on customer infrastructure
- Close the environment
- Mechanism


QUESTION TO CARRY

Who holds infrastructure, keys, updates, logs, recovery, and the exit path?

WHAT TO LOOK FOR

Three signals that the proof is earning trust

Progress is a useful outcome under understood control, supported by reviewable evidence.



How to read this visual: follow a portable outcome through what to look for.

BOUNDARY

Use signals that can change the next decision

Measured on a desktop i9, AVX2, no GPU. Exact recall held at 10K documents. The suites ship with the repos.

Open a project page to understand one mechanism in depth, or use Fabric to experience the complete route as one workspace.

- Useful
- Controlled
- Provable
- Mechanism


QUESTION TO CARRY

Which signals would change the next decision rather than merely impress the room?

HONEST LIMITS

Design failure before the first success demo

Visible failure is more useful than a polished result whose limits remain unknown.



How to read this visual: follow a portable outcome through honest limits.

CONTEXT

Design the failure before presenting the success

A clear boundary shows what went in, what came out, and which rule had to remain true. That makes a result easier to check and a problem easier to isolate.

No long procurement cycles before you see the product. The typical path from first email through assessment, demo, and a written implementation plan takes about one week. We reply to first contact within 24 hours.

- Licence change
- Format lock-in
- Missing verifier


QUESTION TO CARRY

What happens when a source, permission, target, model, or supplier is unavailable?

ACCEPTANCE PATH

A proof should close with a decision, not applause

Agree the decision path before the demonstration so a strong or weak result can change what happens next.



PROCEED · NARROW · HOLD · REJECT

How to read this visual: follow a portable outcome through acceptance path.

BOUNDARY

Close the proof with an owned decision

Every step the engine takes, each resolution and each theory lemma, is recorded by the proof emitter as it happens. The result is an ordered derivation that a separate checker can replay against its premises.

Emit the certificate when a result is created, inspect it when a decision is reviewed, and re-check it later from the archive. The same proof record survives all three moments, so verification does not have to be reconstructed at audit time.

- Baseline
- Accept
- Exercise
- Review


QUESTION TO CARRY

Are success, counter-metrics, failure cases, and stop conditions agreed first?

ADOPTION

Expansion is a sequence of owned choices

Move from one bounded result to a repeatable operating capability without making the scope vague.



How to read this visual: follow a portable outcome through adoption.

CONTEXT

Expand only what proved reusable

These six principles map to operating controls: repeatable results, evidence captured during execution, EU deployment, measured compute efficiency, bounded coupling, and independent verification.

FMI is the industry standard for exchanging simulation models between tools. Traditional IEEE 754 arithmetic can vary across computers, compilers, and operating systems; Dweve FMI uses deterministic fixed-point arithmetic for bit-exact results on CPU, GPU, FPGA, edge, and distributed targets. It supports Model Exchange and Co-Simulation so the same model can travel without changing the result.

- Prove one workflow
- Own the operation
- Repeat the pattern
- Add responsibility


QUESTION TO CARRY

What can be reused safely, and what requires a new decision and proof?

WHAT TO PREPARE

Bring the ingredients for a useful first session

A small amount of real context produces a better conversation than a broad catalogue of hypothetical features.



How to read this visual: follow a portable outcome through what to prepare.

KEEP IT BOUNDED

Sensitive production data is not required for the first conversation. Bring representative material and the rules that define a useful result.

BOUNDARY

Bring enough reality to make the session useful

Start with the question procurement asks first: what enters the estate and who controls it. Reed's source and build instructions are in the public repository at github.com/dweve-ai. It runs inside your own toolchain, emits certificates you retain and introduces no service dependency.

Gathering web data carries real risks: getting blocked, handling personal data, requests reaching unsafe addresses, and having no record of what happened. Winnow handles each of these from the start, with politeness rules, pseudonymisation, protection against unsafe destinations, and a per-request log. What it does not do is pretend to make your legal decisions. Your lawful basis, how much you keep, and how long you keep it stay your call, and we make no certification claim a library cannot honestly make.

- Bring one workflow
- Bring representative sources
- Agree the proof
- Mechanism

QUESTION TO CARRY

Which real sources, rules, owners, and examples will make the first session honest?

THE INVITATION

Continue with the question that matters

Scope a briefing, demonstration, or proof around the workflow and decision that matter to your organisation.



ONE MAINTAINED PUBLIC ROUTE
Current contact choices stay on the website

START A CONVERSATION

Continue through the current contact page

Scope a workflow or ask a technical question, then use the contact page to begin.

START	dweve.com/contact Use the maintained public contact route
BRING	One bounded question Workflow, audience, decision, and desired evidence
CHOOSE	Briefing · demonstration · PoV Select the smallest useful next step
VERIFY	Current scope and terms Confirm availability, pricing, and responsibilities before commitment

CONTINUE ONLINE
dweve.com/contact
Current route and contact choices

CONTEXT

Turn the brochure into one bounded next step

Pick the path that fits. Every inbox reaches the same team; the right start depends on where you are: curious about the product, ready to scope a deployment, or here for a technical or legal matter.

The other question a review asks is where the software is allowed to run. The same record format and the same storage choices work in your own building, in a European cloud region, or fully sealed off from the internet. Moving between them is a copy, not a costly rebuild, so the decision is never final.

QUESTION TO CARRY

What is the smallest useful next conversation Dweve should prepare?

- Start: dweve.com/contact
- Bring: One bounded question
- Choose: Briefing · demonstration · PoV
- Verify: Current scope and terms