

MARKETING BROCHURE • 20 PAGES


Dweve for Legal and compliance

A standalone visual briefing for legal and compliance leaders, practitioners, technology teams, and reviewers.

VISUAL BRIEFING

LEGAL AND COMPLIANCE LEADERS, DOMAIN OWNERS, OPERATIONS, TECHNOLOGY, RISK, AND ASSURANCE TEAMS

ENGLISH



Scope and prove one accountable legal and compliance workflow.

SC08

THE PROPOSITION

One legal and compliance decision people can answer for

Existing DMS, billing, and conflict-check systems keep working. Dweve wraps them in a deterministic decision layer that records every authority, every privilege tag, and every counsel signature as the advice happens.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through the proposition.

DECISION

Read the promise against the operating reality

Existing DMS, billing, and conflict-check systems keep working. Dweve wraps them in a deterministic decision layer that records every authority, every privilege tag, and every counsel signature as the advice happens.

Existing research tools, knowledge bases, and DMS systems keep working. Dweve wraps them in a deterministic decision layer that records every query, every authority, and every counsel signature as the advice happens. The replay packet is the audit artefact.

- Purpose
- Source
- Rule
- Decision


QUESTION TO CARRY

Which part of this proposition changes the outcome people receive today?

WHERE TO BEGIN

Six sector conversations worth having

A sector brochure must stand on its own. These are practical starting points, not a promise that every workflow should use AI.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through where to begin.

MECHANISM

Choose a starting point with a real consequence

Give each legal and compliance decision a record that can stand up in court, audit, or bar review. Case law, statute, guidance, and engagement terms stay attached to the advice, with the exact versions used.

CJEU case C-412/26 (ECLI:EU:C:2026:412), judgment of 4 June 2026, chamber 4, applied to the facts of the notification by Adv. M. Vermeulen on 12 June 2026. The case version is pinned to the consolidated text on the official publisher as of the date of advice. The same advice replays bit-identical, with the case, the version, and the counsel on one screen.

- Matter research
- Advice support
- Conflict checks
- Privilege control


QUESTION TO CARRY

Which bounded workflow has a real owner and a consequence worth improving?

THE CURRENT ESTATE

Keep the systems that already hold operational truth

The accountable layer connects to systems of record and control without pretending to replace every specialist system.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through the current estate.

DECISION

Keep existing operational truth in the picture

Every engagement opens with a cleared conflicts check on the same packet as the scope, the fees, and the counsel. One source of truth, four touchpoints.

A managing partner does not buy a platform. She survives a bar review and a court challenge. CCBE conduct rules, the EU AI Act, GDPR, and legal professional privilege each want the same thing: the engagement letter, the scope, the conflicts check, and the counsel of every advice. Today that record lives in DMS, billing, email, and conflict-check systems that were never meant to agree.

- Authoritative estate
- Bounded decision layer
- Human and system hand-off
- Purpose

QUESTION TO CARRY

Which systems remain authoritative, and what may the new layer change?

REPRESENTATIVE WORKFLOW

A sector decision moves through one visible chain

The exact systems and roles change by organisation. The responsibility pattern remains inspectable.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through representative workflow.

MECHANISM

Follow one decision without skipping a boundary

Pick one workflow: advice drafting, contract review, conflicts check, or privilege log management. Run it with your own authorities and watch the citation packet assemble as the work moves. Managed through Fabric on the public Dweve Mesh, on your hardware, or air-gapped.

Choose managed Fabric on the public Dweve Mesh, direct licensed operation on your infrastructure, or an isolated licensed perimeter. Workflow and evidence contracts remain portable; product access, keys, patches, logs, source rights, and operational responsibility change.

- Receive
- Ground
- Recommend
- Authorise

QUESTION TO CARRY

Where do source, rule, computation, review, and action join?

SECTOR ASSURANCE

Different people need different proof from the same event

A single technical log rarely answers every question. Design the record around the people who will actually use it.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through sector assurance.

DECISION

Give each reader the proof they actually need

Conflicts of interest are the gate before any legal work begins. Today they live in a separate conflicts database that rarely matches the engagement letter. Dweve runs the conflicts check at intake, attached to the same packet as the engagement, so the bar reviewer sees one record.

Every legal advice is appealable. The same record counsel signed is the record you can challenge. If you disagree with the authority, the application, or the scope, the complaint path is on the letter.

- A reason and a route to challenge
- State, authority, and next action
- A resolvable evidence packet
- Purpose


QUESTION TO CARRY

Can the person, operator, and reviewer each obtain the proof they need?

USE AND FIT

Where legal and compliance work can earn its place

Start with responsibilities that have a real owner, useful sources, and a consequence worth improving.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through use and fit.

MECHANISM

Separate useful scope from attractive distraction

Legal advice should show what it rests on. Dweve keeps the relevant case, the version of the law, and the responsible lawyer with the answer, so you can read the reasoning and check the sources yourself.

Every legal advice rests on four authority families: case law (CJEU and national), statute (EU AI Act, GDPR, sectoral), soft law (EDPB guidelines, DPA positions), and bar rules (CCBE, national). Each is recorded with its version and citation, so the replay reconstructs the exact basis of the advice.

- Matter research
- Advice support
- Conflict checks
- Privilege control


QUESTION TO CARRY

Where does this earn a place, and where should it deliberately not be used?

THE PATH

How legal and compliance work moves from question to decision

A focused engagement should make the next decision easier, not create a larger promise.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through the path.

WHY THIS SHAPE WORKS

The workflow, operating boundary, evidence, and decision criteria are considered together from the start.

DECISION

Make every stage earn the next one

Two questions decide procurement: where does it run, and which rules does it satisfy. It runs managed through Fabric on the public Dweve Mesh, on your hardware, or fully air-gapped, with no re-platforming between tiers. GDPR, the EU AI Act, CCBE, and legal professional privilege are design constraints the platform satisfies by construction.

Bit-exact replay for every legal advice. Authority pinned per version, privilege tagged per document, conflicts checked per engagement. CCBE compliant, EU-sovereign, air-gapped for the most privileged work.

- Purpose
- Rule
- Authority
- Evidence


QUESTION TO CARRY

What evidence must exist before the scope is allowed to grow?

THE VALUE PICTURE

legal and compliance work seen from three responsibilities

Good AI work must make sense to the person using it, the team operating it, and the people reviewing it.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through the value picture.

PRIMARY READER

Legal and compliance leaders, domain owners, operations, technology, risk, and assurance teams

The first conversation.

DECISION SUPPORTED

Scope and prove one accountable legal and compliance workflow.

The next useful step.

MECHANISM

Read the same outcome from several responsibilities

Three operating models, one evidence architecture. Managed Fabric runs on the public Mesh. Licensed operation brings direct products onto your hardware. Air-gapped operation protects the most privileged work. Each model keeps decisions and evidence inside its declared trust boundary.

Integrate via REST or gRPC against a typed OpenAPI 3.1 surface. Managed through Fabric on the public Dweve Mesh for pilots. Licensed for on-prem. Air-gapped for the most privileged work. Same API, same trace format, same replay packets across all three.

- Purpose
- Rule
- Authority
- Source


QUESTION TO CARRY

Can the same result satisfy its user, operator, and reviewer?

THE OPERATING MODEL

The control model around legal and compliance work

A credible proposition connects the visible result to the less visible responsibilities underneath it.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through the operating model.

DECISION

Name the controls behind the simple interface

Every numerical computation in the citation and damages path is verified against MPFR at 256-bit precision. The published result is 0 ULP, correctly rounded across the documented input domain, with property-based tests driving the corners on every release.

Every document in a matter is tagged: privileged (LPP), waivable (work product), or shared (discovery). The privilege log is the canonical artefact for litigation holds and disclosure. Dweve produces it as the work happens.

- Purpose
- Source
- Rule
- Decision


QUESTION TO CARRY

Who owns each control when the interface appears simple?

THE RESPONSIBILITY FLOW

People, legal and compliance work, and evidence move together

The work becomes easier to govern when each stage leaves a clear hand-off.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through the responsibility flow.

MECHANISM

Keep every hand-off attached to an owner

Legal authorities amend constantly. Dweve indexes each authority per consolidated version and pins every advice to one version. The replay reconstructs the exact basis of the advice years later, not the one current today.

A dispute is no longer a black box. The advice, the authority, and the counsel sit together from the moment the engagement opens. If you ask, the lawyer can show you why the position was taken.

- Purpose
- Rule
- Authority
- Evidence


QUESTION TO CARRY

Is every hand-off visible, bounded, and assigned to an owner?

SOURCE TO RESULT

legal and compliance work: from authoritative source to result

The work stays explainable when source identity, transformation, decision, and hand-off remain visible between systems.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through source to result.

DECISION

Keep the basis visible as the work changes form

The advice stays inside your jurisdiction. The same platform scales from a single partner to a full multi-jurisdiction practice without re-platforming.

The decision layer never crosses a trust boundary that fails the LPP or CCBE rules. The same topology ships on all three postures.

- Identify
- Prepare
- Apply
- Review


QUESTION TO CARRY

Can the result still be connected to the exact basis that shaped it?

EVIDENCE PACKET

The evidence legal and compliance work leaves with the work

Capture enough to explain the result, inspect authority, investigate failure, and make the next decision without rebuilding the story from memory.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through evidence packet.

MECHANISM

Preserve the record needed by the next question

Every advice leaves a signed packet: the question, the authority, the version, the counsel, and a bit-exact replay. The court opens one file and watches the same advice come back.

Cases amend through subsequent decisions and statutory reform. Every advice Dweve records pins to a specific version of each authority, so the replay reconstructs the exact basis of the advice, not the one current today.

- Purpose
- Basis
- Authority
- Action

QUESTION TO CARRY

Does the retained record answer the next difficult question without reconstruction?

DEPLOYMENT CHOICE

Choose the operating posture for legal and compliance work

Location is only one dimension. Decide who operates, who holds keys, which dependencies exist, how updates arrive, and what continuity requires.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through deployment choice.

DECISION

Compare operating responsibility, not location alone

Most people open managed Fabric in a browser and start without an infrastructure project. Organisations that need direct product operation inside their own perimeter move to a licensed deployment. Workflow and evidence contracts remain portable, while product access, keys, patches, logs, and operating responsibility change.

For customers who want the compliance posture of licensed deployment without building an operations team. Dweve engineers handle installation, patching, monitoring, and incident response on hardware inside your perimeter. The runbook hands over at cutover, with optional ongoing management.

- Begin through managed Fabric
- Run on customer infrastructure
- Close the environment
- Purpose


QUESTION TO CARRY

Who holds infrastructure, keys, updates, logs, recovery, and the exit path?

WHAT TO LOOK FOR

Signals that legal and compliance work is earning trust

Progress is a useful outcome under understood control, supported by reviewable evidence.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through what to look for.

MECHANISM

Use signals that can change the next decision

When your lawyer uses Dweve, the advice you receive is not a guess from a machine. It is tied to a real citation, a statute version, and a named counsel who signed it. If you ask why, the answer is in writing.

You do not need a second lawyer to challenge advice. The packet is in plain language and the authority, the version, and the counsel are named on the letter.

- Useful
- Controlled
- Provable
- Purpose


QUESTION TO CARRY

Which signals would change the next decision rather than merely impress the room?

HONEST LIMITS

Test how legal and compliance work fails before the first success demo

Visible failure is more useful than a polished result whose limits remain unknown.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through honest limits.

DECISION

Design the failure before presenting the success

The advice is the easy part. Reconstructing the why of every citation six months later, in front of a court, is the hard part.

Determinism is asserted in the suite, not promised in prose. The same oracle run on your hardware produces the same answer as on ours.

- Conflict found
- Privilege risk
- Authority missing


QUESTION TO CARRY

What happens when a source, permission, target, model, or supplier is unavailable?

ACCEPTANCE PATH

Close the legal and compliance work proof with a decision

Agree the decision path before the demonstration so a strong or weak result can change what happens next.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through acceptance path.

MECHANISM

Close the proof with an owned decision

Policy evaluation in Lattice and proof generation in AION are pure functions of their inputs. Both run with no network access.

Charter carries authority and policy beside the operating graph. Lattice evaluates explicit rules against supplied state and returns the applied path. AION checks deductions against supplied premises and can emit portable proof material. This does not establish source truth, model quality, fairness, or legal compliance; those require separate evidence and judgement.

- Baseline
- Accept
- Exercise
- Review

QUESTION TO CARRY

Are success, counter-metrics, failure cases, and stop conditions agreed first?

ADOPTION

Adopt legal and compliance work through owned choices

Move from one bounded result to a repeatable operating capability without making the scope vague.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through adoption.

DECISION

Expand only what proved reusable

Start in a European region, move the same workflow into your own environment, or isolate it completely. The operating model changes; the way people review and approve work does not.

Start in managed Fabric: open a browser, sign in, and ask. If your organisation later needs direct product operation inside its own perimeter, use a licensed transition plan that carries the workflow and evidence contracts into customer-controlled infrastructure.

- Prove one workflow
- Own the operation
- Repeat the pattern
- Add responsibility


QUESTION TO CARRY

What can be reused safely, and what requires a new decision and proof?

WHAT TO PREPARE

What to bring to the legal and compliance working session

A small amount of real context produces a better conversation than a broad catalogue of hypothetical features.



How to read this visual: follow a legal matter review through what to prepare.

KEEP IT BOUNDED

Sensitive production data is not required for the first conversation. Bring representative material and the rules that define a useful result.

MECHANISM

Bring enough reality to make the session useful

Fabric is the app you actually use. You log in, start a conversation, upload documents, or build a small workflow. Behind the scenes the model powers the answers, the network handles the compute, and the agents do the organizing, but you never have to configure any of that. The trace is always there if you want to see how an answer was reached.

A trace on every decision means a real question comes back with the source it used, the rule it applied, the owner who signed, and a sealed record you can replay. Here is the shape of that evidence. The example is illustrative until your own data is connected.

- Bring one workflow
- Bring representative sources
- Agree the proof
- Purpose

QUESTION TO CARRY

Which real sources, rules, owners, and examples will make the first session honest?

THE INVITATION

Continue the legal and compliance work conversation

Scope a briefing, demonstration, or proof around the workflow and decision that matter to your organisation.

● **dweve.com/contact**



ONE MAINTAINED PUBLIC ROUTE
Current contact choices stay on the website

START A CONVERSATION

Continue through the current contact page

Scope a workflow or ask a technical question, then use the contact page to begin.

START	dweve.com/contact Use the maintained public contact route
BRING	One bounded question Workflow, audience, decision, and desired evidence
CHOOSE	Briefing · demonstration · PoV Select the smallest useful next step
VERIFY	Current scope and terms Confirm availability, pricing, and responsibilities before commitment

CONTINUE ONLINE

dweve.com/contact

Current route and contact choices

DECISION

Turn the brochure into one bounded next step

The access model decides who operates the products, who holds the keys, and where the boundary sits. Workflow and evidence contracts remain portable, but rights are not identical.

Pick the path that fits. Every inbox reaches the same team; the right start depends on where you are: curious about the product, ready to scope a deployment, or here for a technical or legal matter.

The access model decides who operates the products, who holds the keys, and where the boundary sits. Workflow and evidence contracts remain portable, but rights are not identical.

- Start: dweve.com/contact
- Bring: One bounded question
- Choose: Briefing · demonstration · PoV
- Verify: Current scope and terms

QUESTION TO CARRY

What is the smallest useful next conversation Dweve should prepare?